

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LISA DAVIS,	)	1:10-cv-02372 LJO SKO
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	SCHEDULING ORDER
SOCIAL SERVICE COORDINATORS,	)	
INC., et. al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

On November 8, 2011, a scheduling conference was held. Alex Tomasevic, Esq., appeared telephonically on behalf of Plaintiff. Erin McLaughlin, Esq., appeared telephonically on behalf of Defendants.

The Court set the following deadlines:

- 1) Any motions or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings must be filed no later than March 1, 2012.<sup>1</sup>
- 2) The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ.

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are advised that filing motions and/or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings by 4/5/2011 does not reflect on the propriety of the amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

1 P. 26(a)(1) on or before November 30, 2011.

2 3) Class certification discovery shall be completed by no later than March 30, 2012.

3 4) The motion for class certification shall be filed by no later than April 30, 2012.

4 5) Any opposition shall be filed by no later than May 31, 2012.

5 6) Any reply brief shall be filed by no later than June 15, 2012.

6 7) The motion for class certification shall be heard by no later than July 18, 2012, at  
7 8:15 a.m. before the Honorable District Judge Lawrence O’Neill in Courtroom 4.

8 8) Following the District Court’s decision on Plaintiff’s motion for class  
9 certification, the Court, as necessary, will set a status conference to set further  
10 scheduling dates and discuss issues related to discovery raised by the parties at the  
11 initial scheduling conference.

12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 **Dated:** November 10, 2011

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28