1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES HOLT,	1:10-cv-02385-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING MOTIONS TO PROCEED
13	VS.	IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS MOOT
14	A. ENENMOH, et al.,	(Motion #5 & #6)
15	Defendants.	(Model no a no)
16		_/
17	On March 28, 2011 and March 30, 2011, Plaintiff filed motions to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis. Because Plaintiff paid the \$350.00 filing fee in full on December 27, 2010, his motions	
19	are HEREBY DISREGARDED as moot.	
20		
21		
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: <u>April 4, 2011</u>	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		

(PC) Holt v. Enenmoh et al

Doc. 7