1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	JAMES FREDRICK MENEFIELD, CASE NO. 1:10-cv-2406-MJS (PC)
10	Plaintiff, ORDER STAYING CASE PENDING
10	COMPLETION OF SETTLEMENT  V. CONFERENCE
12	JAMES A. YATES, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	The Court has referred this action to the ADD and Dro Bone Drogram Director for
16	The Court has referred this action to the ADR and Pro Bono Program Director for
17	the United States District Court of the Eastern District of California for settlement purposes.  The ADR and Pro Bono Director is currently in the process of scheduling a settlement
18	conference in this matter.
19	Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED STAYED in its entirety pending
20	the scheduling and completion of the settlement conference. If settlement is not
21	accomplished, the Court will thereafter issue a new scheduling order.
22	The parties may anticipate further communication regarding the settlement
23	conference from the Court within the first few weeks of March 2012.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: February 15, 2012 Isl Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	