1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		cv-00036-AWI-DLB (HC)
9		
10	RESI	ORDER VACATING ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO PETITION ISSUED
11		JARY 18, 2011
12	J. D. HARTLEY,	4]
13	Respondent.	
14	/	
15	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
17	Petitioner filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus on January 10, 2011.	
18	Petitioner challenges a California Board of Parole hearing finding him unsuitable for release.	
19	On January 18, 2011, this Court issued an Order directing Respondent to file a response	
20	to the petition.	
21	On January 24, 2011, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in Swarthout v. Cooke,	
22	U.S,S.Ct, 2011 WL 197627 (Jan. 24, 2011) (per curiam). This decision renders	
23	the claims presented in the petition noncognizable for purposes of federal habeas review.	
24	Accordingly, the January 18, 2011, Order to Respond is HEREBY VACATED, and	
25	briefing is suspended pending further order of the Court.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27		/s/ Dennis L. Beck TED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		TED STATES MADISTRATE JUDUE
	1	