

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRYAN E. RANSOM,
Plaintiff,
v.
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
AND REHABILITATION, et al.,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 1: 11-cv-00068-AWI-MJS (PC)
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY
PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR PLU
STATUS, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER, AND PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION
(Docs. 70, 71, 85)

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. (Docs. 1 & 12.) The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 1, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations (Doc. 85.) to deny Plaintiff's motion for PLU status and motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. On September 23, 2015, Plaintiff filed objections. (Doc. 97.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Plaintiff argues that his motion should have been construed as a motion for an extension of time to respond to Defendants' discovery requests. The Docket reflects that Plaintiff filed a separate motion for an extension of time, which the Magistrate Judge granted. (Docs. 98 & 99.) Therefore, Plaintiff's objections do not raise an issue of law or fact under the Findings and Recommendations.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Court adopts the Findings and Recommendations filed on September 1, 2015 (Doc. 85.) in full;
2. Plaintiff's motion for PLU status (Doc. 70.) is DENIED; and
3. Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction (Doc. 71.) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2015



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE