1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 BRYAN E. RANSOM, Case No. 1: 11-cv-0068-AWI-MJS (PC) 13 Plaintiff, 14 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' **MOTIONS TO COMPEL** ٧. 15 (ECF NOS. 81, 86) 16 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil 22 rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court are two motions to 23 compel filed by Defendants Swingle, Neubarth, and Bondoc (ECF No. 81) and Madina 24 (ECF No. 86). Defendants claimed in their respective motions that Plaintiff had not 25 responded at all to their discovery requests.

However, recent status reports filed by Defendants indicate Plaintiff has now

responded to the propounded discovery. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

26

27

28

1	the August 26, 2015, motion to compel (ECF No. 81) and September 4, 2015, motion to
2	compel (ECF No. 86) are DENIED as moot.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: January 27, 2016 Isl Michael J. Seng
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	