1 2

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY HOWARD, CASE NO. 1:11-cv-00101-AWI-SKO PC

Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM AS

PREMATURE

D. L. DeAZEVEDO, et al., (Doc. 19)

Defendants.

Plaintiff Timothy Howard, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 20, 2011. On May 3, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum to non-party Kathleen Allison.

The discovery phase of this litigation is not yet open. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion is premature and it shall be denied. Plaintiff is directed to paragraph 8 of the First Informational Order, filed on January 20, 2011.

Once discovery is open, Plaintiff is informed of the following requirements. Plaintiff may be entitled to the issuance of a subpoena commanding the production of documents from a non-party, Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, and to service of the subpoena by the United States Marshal, 28 U.S.C. 1915(d). However, the Court will consider granting such a request *only if* the documents sought from the non-party are not equally available to Plaintiff and are not obtainable from Defendant through a request for the production of documents. Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. If Plaintiff wishes to make a request for the issuance of a records subpoena, he may file a motion requesting the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum that (1) identifies with specificity the documents sought and from whom, and (2) makes a showing in the motion that the records are only obtainable through that third party.

1	As set forth above, Plaintiff's motion for the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum is
2	premature and it is HEREBY DENIED on that ground.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: May 10, 2012 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	