

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORTEZA BAKHTIARI,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
JAMES A. YATES, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:11-cv-00102 AWI JLT (PC)  
ORDER ON STIPULATION TO SUBMIT  
MATTER TO VDRP  
(Doc. 27)

\_\_\_\_\_ /

In their stipulation and proposed order filed October 5, 2011, the parties indicate that they wish to have the matter referred to the VDRP. (Doc. 27) In addition, the parties seek a stay on discovery and other deadlines and an extension of time on discovery and motion deadlines to a period of time after the completion of the alternative dispute resolution session. *Id.* at 1-2. However, they agree that the deposition of Plaintiff, currently scheduled for October 19, 2011, should go forward. *Id.*

Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**:

1. The matter is **REFERRED** to the VDRP;
2. All discovery and other deadlines set forth in the Court’s April 14, 2011 Discovery and Scheduling Order are **STAYED** with the exception of the deposition of Plaintiff’s deposition which SHALL go forward as scheduled;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. Upon completion of VDRP, if necessary, the Court will issue an amended scheduling order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 6, 2011

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE