

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANETTA SCONIERS,

CASE NO. 1:11-cv-00113-LJO-SMS

Plaintiff,

ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE
WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

v.

FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,
et al.,

Date: March 30, 2011
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom 7

Defendants.

_____ /

On January 24, 2011, this Court struck Plaintiff's complaint for failure to comply with the requirements of F.R.Civ.P. 11, specifically the failure of Plaintiff's counsel to sign the complaint. Plaintiff has taken no action to file an amended complaint. Failure of a plaintiff to prosecute an action is grounds for dismissal. *In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation*, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006).

Accordingly, this Court hereby orders that counsel for Plaintiff either (1) file an amended complaint compliant with F.R.Civ.P. 11 within thirty (30) days of this order; or (2) voluntarily dismiss this action within thirty days of this order; or (3) appear at a hearing set for 9:00 a.m., March 30, 2011, before the Honorable Sandra M. Snyder, United States Magistrate Judge, prepared to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 3, 2011

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE