(HC) Koon v. Barn	es I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UTAH CHARLES KOON,	1:11-cv-00131-BAM (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	VS.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	R. E. BARNES,	(DOCUMENT #22)
15	Respondent.	
16	/	
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze,	
19	258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).	
20	However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of	
21	the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254	
22	Cases.	
23	Here, the claims are not numerous or complex, and Petitioner has filed a traverse. In	
24	the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of	
25	counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request	
26	for appointment of counsel is denied.	
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
28	Dated: <u>March 27, 2013</u>	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe

Doc. 23

## UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE