1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	UTAH CHARLES KOON,	1:11 -cv-00131-BAM (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	R.E. BARNES,	(Document #24)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
17	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v.	
18	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
19	1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel	
20	at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
21	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests	
22	of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS	
23	HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
24		
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: March 10, 2014	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	I .	