1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DONALD B. WILLIAMS,	Case No. 1:11-cv-182-OWW-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	VS.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	(ECF No. 12)
15	Defendants.	
16	<i>/</i> /	
17	On April 20, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.	
18	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action,	
19	Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an	
20	attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States	
21	District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816	
22	(1989). In certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the voluntary	
23	assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525. However,	
24	without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek	
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases.	
26	In determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must	
27	evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to	
28	articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Id.	

1 (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

2 In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. 3 Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made 4 serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. 5 This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel contains nothing that suggests he is more disadvantaged than any other prisoner 6 7 prosecuting a civil rights action while in prison. Further, at this early stage in the 8 proceedings, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on 9 the merits. Based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does not find that 10 Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Id.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is
HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

12	HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.
13	
14	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: <u>May 8, 2011</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	UNITED STATES MADISTICATE SUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-