1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHANN MEADOWS,	Case No. 1:11-cv-00257 JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER AFTER TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE RE: DISCOVERY
13	v.	DISPUTE
14	DR. REEVES,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	At the request of counsel for the plaintiff, the Court held an informal, telephonic	
18	conference regarding discovery disputes. After discussion with counsel, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :	
19	1. No later than 5 p.m. on December 29, 2016, counsel for the defendant will lodge	
20	via email to <u>JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov</u> or via USPS or overnight mail the unredacted eight	
21	pages of the investigation into the letter of instruction discussed at the conference for in camera	
22	review and copies of the inmate complaints provided to counsel for the plaintiff. The purpose of	
23	the review is to determine whether the 6 pages of the investigation not provided to plaintiff and	
24	the identities of the inmate complainants should be disclosed. In making this determination, the	
25	Court will proceed according to the scope of discovery set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26;	
26	2. No later than January 6, 2017, the CDCR SHALL provide to the parties an	
27	amended response to the subpoena issued by the plaintiff and MAY NOT refuse to produce	
28	documents merely because the documents we	ere produced by a party. The CDCR is strongly

1		
2	urged to provide a supplemental declaration more clearly setting forth whether the documents	
3	described in category 1 of the subpoena exist and, if so, whether they will be produced or why	
4	they will not be produced;	
5	If upon receiving this amended response, the plaintiff is dissatisfied, she may file a motion	
6	to compel according to the requirements of Local Rule 251(c);	
7	3. For purposes of clarity, the telephonic trial confirmation hearing, previously set on	
8	January 6, 2017 is VACATED (See Doc. 116 at 1 n. 1);	
9	4. The parties are relieved of their obligation to provide the Court a status report	
10	related to impediments to commencing trial. Rather, any such impediments should be raised as	
11	soon as they are known and, as necessary, in the joint pretrial statement;	
12	5. As to the request to amend the complaint, if the plaintiff chooses to proceed on a	
13	motion, she SHALL address Rule 16 and Rule 15 in doing so. Likewise, she SHALL address	
14	whether the issues raised in the proposed amendment could be raised via an independent action.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16		
17	Dated: December 28, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	