

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

urged to provide a supplemental declaration more clearly setting forth whether the documents described in category 1 of the subpoena exist and, if so, whether they will be produced or why they will not be produced;

If upon receiving this amended response, the plaintiff is dissatisfied, she may file a motion to compel according to the requirements of Local Rule 251(c);

3. For purposes of clarity, the telephonic trial confirmation hearing, previously set on January 6, 2017 is VACATED (*See* Doc. 116 at 1 n. 1);

4. The parties are relieved of their obligation to provide the Court a status report related to impediments to commencing trial. Rather, any such impediments should be raised as soon as they are known and, as necessary, in the joint pretrial statement;

5. As to the request to amend the complaint, if the plaintiff chooses to proceed on a motion, she SHALL address Rule 16 and Rule 15 in doing so. Likewise, she SHALL address whether the issues raised in the proposed amendment could be raised via an independent action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 28, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE