

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MICHANN MEADOWS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 ERNEST REEVES,

15 Defendant.
16
17
18
19

1:11-cv-00257-DAD-JLT-PC

ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO
CONTINUE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
(ECF No. 89.)

New date for Settlement Conference:

June 30, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.
Before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean
Courtroom 10

Confidential Settlement Conference Statements due:

June 17, 2016

20 Plaintiff, Michann Meadows, is proceeding with counsel in this civil rights action under
21 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 17, 2016, the Court issued an order scheduling a Settlement
22 Conference for this case, to be held on May 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. before Magistrate Judge
23 Erica P. Grosjean. (ECF No. 85.)

24 On May 6, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation, signed by Plaintiff's counsel and defense
25 counsel, agreeing to continue the Settlement Conference until June 30, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.
26 (ECF No. 89.) The parties seek a continuance because Plaintiff's counsel is unable to meet
27 with Plaintiff prior to May 18, 2016, due to delays in processing security clearance application
28 at the Central California Women's Facility.

1 After consideration of the stipulation, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 2 1. The parties' stipulation to continue the Settlement Conference for this case, filed
3 on May 6, 2016, is approved as set forth and given full effect;
- 4 2. The Settlement Conference is continued from May 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. to
5 **June 30, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.**, before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean in
6 Courtroom 10, Sixth Floor, at the Robert E. Coyle Federal Courthouse located at
7 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California 93721;
- 8 3. No later than **June 1, 2016**, Plaintiff shall submit to Defendant, a written
9 itemization of damages and a meaningful¹ settlement demand which includes a
10 brief explanation why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than
11 **June 13, 2016**, Defendant shall respond with an acceptance of the offer or with
12 a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a
13 settlement is appropriate;
- 14 3. The parties' confidential settlement conference statements, as discussed in the
15 Court's order dated March 17, 2016, are now due to be submitted to the Court
16 no later than **June 17, 2016**; and
- 17 4. All other provisions of the Court's March 17, 2016 order remain in effect. The
18 parties are directed to review the order for instructions and requirements
19 regarding the settlement proceedings.

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: **May 17, 2016**

23 /s/ Erica P. Grosjean
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25
26
27
28 ¹ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party.