

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| CHRISTINA BARBOSA, et al., |) | 1:11-cv-00275 LJO SKO |
| |) | |
| Plaintiffs, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | SCHEDULING ORDER |
| CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORP., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

On November 8, 2011, a scheduling conference was held. Dennis Wilson, Esq., Philip Downey, Esq., and Kitty Szeto, Esq., made appearances on behalf of Plaintiffs. Jeremy Glenn, Esq., and Joseph Tilson, Esq., appeared on behalf of Defendant.

The Court set the following deadlines:

- 1) Any motions or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings must be filed no later than January 6, 2012.¹

///

¹ The parties are advised that filing motions and/or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings by 4/5/2011 does not reflect on the propriety of the amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

