```
LAW OFFICES OF
   WAGNER & JONES LLP
   Nicholas J.P. Wagner #109455
   Andrew B. Jones #076915
3
   Daniel M. Kopfman #192191
   Lawrence M. Artenian #103367
   Paul C. Mullen #216447
   1111 E. Herndon, Ste. 317
   Fresno, CA 93720
5
   559/449-1800
   559/449-0749 Fax
6
7
   Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL MARTIN
8
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                        EASTERN DIVISION - FRESNO
10
11
   MICHAEL MARTIN,
                                    ) Case No. 1:11-CV-298 AWI SMS
12
              Plaintiff,
13
                                      CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND
     VS.
                                      STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
14
   HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a
   corporation, and DOES 1-25,
15
   inclusive,
16
              Defendants.
17
18
19
20
        Plaintiff MICHAEL MARTIN ("Plaintiff') and Defendant THE
21
   HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. ("Defendant") (collectively the
22
   "Parties") possess certain confidential information which may he
23
   disclosed to the opposing party in this action pursuant to
24
25
   discovery undertaken herein. The Parties, through their
26
   attorneys of record, stipulate that they have no wish or
27
   intention to use confidential information for any purpose beyond
28
```

this action. The purpose of this Agreement/Order is to ensure that the confidentiality of such information is protected consistent with California and federal law. This Agreement/Order is not intended to afford any protection to information that would not be considered confidential under applicable law.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(c), the Parties recognize the following types of information is eligible for protection as confidential information and jointly request that this Agreement be entered as an enforceable Order of this Court to protect the confidential nature of the information protected by this Agreement/Order: Defendant asserts it is in possession, custody or control of information that contains and/or constitutes confidential information regarding employees and former employees or which involves trade secrets or confidential business information or private information, including, but not limited to, private financial information subject to protection under the California Constitution, California Civil Code, California Code of Civil Procedure or other applicable law (collectively referred to as "Confidential Information"). Defendant has a cognizable interest in the Confidential Information, and the disclosure of such Confidential Information may result in harm to the disclosing party or other individuals/entities to which the information relates. Plaintiff contends that some of this information, which Defendant

considers to be Confidential Information, is relevant to the above-captioned action and discoverable. Plaintiff is in possession of private financial information, subject to protection under California law, that Plaintiff has a cognizable interest in the Confidential Information produced by Plaintiff, and that the disclosure of such Confidential Information may result in harm to Plaintiff.

1.5

## CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

Accordingly, subject to the approval of the Court, the Parties agree that the following procedure shall be employed for the protection of the Parties' Confidential Information:

- 1. For purposes of this Agreement/Order, Confidential Information means any document, writing, paper, reproduction, model, photograph, film, videotape, tangible thing, transcript or oral testimony or recorded statement, which the party in possession (the "Producing Party") designates as "Confidential."
- 2. Whenever a Producing Party produces a document or thing containing information that said party deems to be Confidential Information, and which said party wishes to be subject to this Agreement/Order, the Producing Party shall mark or designate the document or thing "Confidential Subject to Protective Order" or use words to that effect. The Parties will act in good faith in determining whether information is

Confidential Information and will not "blanket" designate all produced documents as Confidential Information.

- 3. All material which a Producing Party designates
  "Confidential" in this action shall be maintained in strict
  confidence by the Parties, and used solely for the litigation of
  and/or arbitration hearing in this action. The Parties shall not
  intentionally disclose or permit to be disclosed material which
  is designated "Confidential" except to the extent that
  Confidential Information may be shared with the following
  persons or parties unless otherwise indicated herein:
- (a) All Parties to this action, their respective counsel, their legal and clerical staff, agents, and experts or consultants of such counsel to whom it is necessary that such confidential information be disclosed for the purpose of preparing for the litigation of and/or arbitration hearing in this action.
- (b) Individuals testifying at a deposition or during the trial or any related hearing in this action whom counsel for the parties believe, in good faith, it is necessary to disclose specific documents, subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement/Order and provided that the Producing Party is given a reasonable opportunity to object to such disclosure and seek a ruling from the Court if necessary;

(c) The Court and the Court's respective staff
members;

- (d) Those present in the room during the trial in this matter, including all pre-trial and motion hearings, and during presentation/argument of this evidence, unless the Court orders otherwise; and
- (e) Any other person as to whom the parties agree in writing.
- 4. Any material which a Producing Party considers to be highly Confidential may be marked "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only." Material designated as such shall not be disclosed to anyone other than legal counsel for the party to whom the documents have been produced ("Receiving Party") and the Court unless prior written authorization is obtained from the Producing Party. In the event the Receiving Party believes material designated as "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" needs to disclosed to other individuals, the Parties agree to "meet and confer" in an attempt to resolve the issue. In the event the Parties cannot agree on a resolution, the issue shall be presented to and resolved by the Court.
- 5. Counsel for all Parties in the within action, by executing this Agreement/Order, undertake to abide by and be bound by its provisions, and to use due care to ensure that its

provisions are known by and adhered to by those under their supervision or control.

- the designation of any documents as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" by moving the Court for an order that the confidentiality designation be lifted. Nothing in this Agreement/Order shall be construed as changing the law with regard to which party has the burden of proving that information is "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" or as changing the law with regard to when records may be sealed. The burden of establishing that a document is "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" remains with the proponent of the confidentiality. Before bringing such a challenge, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to resolve the issue without court intervention.
- 7. At the conclusion of this litigation, all documents and information designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" will be returned to the Producing Party, or counsel shall certify the destruction thereof, within a reasonable amount of time, unless the Court has deemed the originally designated confidential information otherwise as a result of a motion or any other procedure.

Use of Confidential Documents

8. A court reporter or transcriber who reports or transcribes testimony designated as "Confidential Information" in this action that is designated as such on the record at the deposition or other proceeding, shall separately bind those portions of the testimony, and any "Confidential" exhibits, and shall mark them "Confidential - Subject to Protective Order," but shall unbind them if deemed not "Confidential" by order of the court or by agreement of the Parties.

9. If any Receiving Party or any of their representatives (the "Compelled Party") becomes legally compelled or is required by a court, agency or governmental body to make any disclosure of information marked "Confidential" or "Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to this Agreement/Order, the Compelled Party shall immediately, but in no event later than three (3) business days after the Compelled Party receives a subpoena or otherwise becomes aware that he is or will be required to make such disclosure, notify the Producing Party by facsimile and telephone call to that party's counsel so that the Producing Party may move for a protective order or otherwise object or seek relief to prevent the disclosure of the Confidential Information.

/ / /

28 || / /

## NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE

- 10. A Party who, through inadvertence or mistake, produces any material or information subject to a legitimate claim of attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine without intending to waive the claim of privilege shall not be held to have waived that privilege with respect to either that document or the subject matter of that document if, within ten (10) days of discovering the inadvertent disclosure of the protected material, the Producing Party provides written notice to the Receiving Party (a) identifying the material or information inadvertently produced, (b) stating the privilege asserted, (c) and requesting its return by the Receiving Party.
- 11. The Receiving Party, upon discovery of materials or information where it is reasonably apparent that the information is privileged under the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine, must either (a) promptly return the documents to the Producing Party as inadvertently disclosed material; or (b) notify the Producing Party, in writing, of the potential inadvertent disclosure, identify the materials or information by their "Bates" number, and provide the Producing Party with an opportunity to recall the material per the procedure established in Paragraph 10 .of this Agreement/Order. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement/Order is not intended to create attorney-client privilege or work product

obligations beyond those recognized by California law, but is intended to create a process to ensure that the Parties' rights are protected.

- 12. Upon the Receiving Party's receipt of written notice from the Producing Party identifying inadvertently produced documents, the Receiving Party must promptly return the specified materials or information, any copies or notes thereof, and any materials derived from or based thereon. If privileged materials or information were produced in electronic form, the Receiving Party must provide a certification that the specified data and any copies thereof have been deleted.
- 13. After the return of the documents subject to a claim of inadvertent production, the Receiving Party may contest the Producing Party's claim(s) of attorney-client privilege, work-product, or other protection from disclosure and submit such issue to the Court for determination. That determination shall be made without regard to the fact that any such document previously has been produced, except that the Parties may argue that the circumstances support an inference that disclosure was not inadvertent.

28 | / / ,

14. This Agreement/Order terminates and supersedes all prior understandings or agreements on the subject matter hereof.

- 15. This Agreement/Order is binding on all Parties to the Agreement/Order when signed. If not approved by the Court, the Parties agree to treat all materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" under this Agreement/Order as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" until a stipulated protective order is entered by the Court, at which such time that order shall cover such designations retroactively.
- 16. Nothing in this Agreement/Order prevents any Party from applying to the Court for a modification of this Agreement/Order should the moving party believe that the Agreement/Order, as originally agreed upon, is hampering its efforts to prepare for trial; or from applying to the Court for further or additional protective agreements; or from an agreement between The. Parties to any modification to this Agreement/Order, subject to the approval of the Court.
- 17. The failure of the producing party to designate information as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall not of itself be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of the claim of confidentiality, either as to specific information disclosed or as to other related information which

1	has been previously designated as "Confidential" or
2	"Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only," and the failure may be
3	corrected by supplemental written notice to recipients.
4	18. Nothing herein shall require any party to produce
5	documents or information which he/she/it otherwise believes are
6	not discoverable.
7	not discoverable.
8	
9	Dated: November 8, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF WAGNER & JONES LLP
10	
11	/s/ Andrew B. Jones
12	ANDREW B. JONES Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	MICHAEL MARTIN
14	
15	Dated: November 8, 2011 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK
16	& STEWART, P.C.
17	
18	/2/ Donald Choi
19	DONALD CHOI Attorneys for Defendants
20	THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.
21	
22	ORDER
23	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the
24	
25	provisions of the concurrently-filed Confidentiality Agreement
26	and Stipulated Protective Order between Plaintiff MICHAEL MARTIN
27	and Defendant THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., ("Agreement/Order")
28	

shall be entered as the Order of the Court and be binding upon the parties. cause. IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any request to seal records must comply with the procedures set forth in Local Rule 141 and must also comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1), including that the requesting party must make a showing of good

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **November 10, 2011**