1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EUGENE E. FORTE,	1:11-cv-0318 AWI BAM
12	Plaintiff,	1:11-cv-0718 AWI BAM
13	v.	ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
14	COUNTY OF MERCED, et al.,	CLARIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDERS OF THE COURT
15	Defendants.	
16 17		This Order Addresses:
17	EUGENE E. FORTE,	
10	Plaintiff,	Case # 11-cv-0318 Doc. #'s 157, 159 & 161 Case # 11-cv-0718 Doc. #'s 92 & 94
20	v.	Case # 11-CV-0/16 DUC. # \$ 52 & 54
20	TOMMY JONES, et al.,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25	Plaintiff has submitted motions in both cases seeking "clarification" of the documents	
26	noted above. For the most part, the requested "clarifications" do not involve orders of the court	
27	so much as the court's reasoning behind its orders. The court has reviewed the specific questions	
28	posed by Plaintiff and finds that, with only one or two exceptions, further elaboration on the	
	1	

court's prior orders is not warranted.

The court does wish to clarify that, while the issuance of subpoenas will not be allowed for the purpose of testimony, any declarations or letters from persons who will voluntarily attest to Plaintiff's willingness to follow the orders of the court with respect to exclusion of evidence that is not relevant and with respect to rules of decorum at trial as imposed by the court will be gladly accepted. In addition, to the extent is has not been made explicit before, the court's concern with Plaintiff's competence is in regard to his conduct at trial. In particular, the court is concerned with Plaintiff's ability to confine himself to what the court determines is the relevant subject matter, and with his ability to conduct himself without the derisive and invective remarks that are common in his written submissions to the court. The court is not particularly concerned with Plaintiff's conduct with regard to written submissions.

Except for the foregoing clarification of the court's prior orders, Plaintiff's Motions for
clarification or correction of orders as set forth in Document Numbers 157, 159 and 161 of Case
Number 11-cv-0318; and Document Numbers 92 and 94 in Case Number 11-cv-0718 are hereby
DENIED. The Clerk of the Court shall file this order in both the aforementioned cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2013

lii

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE