UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
THOMAS GOOLSBY,	1:11-cv-00394-GSA-PC
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR COURT ORDER AS
VS.	MOOT
FERNANDO GONZALES, et al.,	(Docs. 23, 24.)
Defendants.	

Thomas Goolsby ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on March 8, 2011. (Doc. 1.) On May 4, 2011, Plaintiff consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and no other parties have made an appearance. (Doc. 6.) Therefore, pursuant to Appendix A(k)(4) of the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, the undersigned shall conduct any and all proceedings in the case until such time as reassignment to a District Judge is required. Local Rule Appendix A(k)(3). This case now proceeds on Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, filed on September 17, 2012, against defendant T. Steadman for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment.¹ (Doc. 13.)

¹ On April 22, 2013, the court dismissed all remaining claims and defendants from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim. (Doc. 17.)

1	On October 28, 2013 and January 15, 2014, Plaintiff filed motions for a court order	
2	requiring the United States Marshal ("Marshal") to file a return of service showing whether	
3	defendant Steadman was served with the complaint. (Docs. 23, 24.) In light of the fact that a	
4	Waiver of Service signed by defense counsel on behalf of defendant Steadman was filed on	
5	February 4, 2014, Plaintiff's motions are moot.	
6	Accordingly, based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's	
7	motions filed on October 28, 2013 and January 15, 2014 are DENIED as moot.	
8		
9		
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: February 24, 2014 /s/ Gary S. Austin	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	