

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS GOOLSBY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FERNANDO GONZALES, et al.,

Defendants.

1:11-cv-00394-LJO-GSA-PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. 37.)

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
STEADMAN’S MOTION TO DECLARE
PLAINTIFF A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT
AND REQUIRE PAYMENT OF SECURITY
(Doc. 31.)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
FOR STAY AND TO CONDUCT
DISCOVERY, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(Doc. 36.)

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT
STEADMAN TO FILE ANSWER WITHIN
THIRTY DAYS

Thomas Goolsby (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 29, 2014, [findings and recommendations](#) were entered, recommending that Defendant Steadman’s (“Defendant”) motion to declare Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and require payment of security, and Plaintiff’s motion for stay and to conduct discovery, or in the

1 alternative, for extension of time, be denied. (Doc. 37.) The parties were provided an
2 opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations within thirty days. On June
3 26, 2014, Defendant filed [objections](#). (Doc. 43.) To date, Plaintiff has not filed objections or
4 otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

5 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this
6 Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
7 including Defendant's objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be
8 supported by the record and proper analysis.

9 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 10 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on May 29,
11 2014, are ADOPTED IN FULL; and
- 12 2. Defendant Steadman's motion to declare Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and
13 require payment of security, filed on April 17, 2014, is DENIED;
- 14 3. Plaintiff's motion for stay and to conduct discovery, or in the alternative, for
15 extension of time, filed on May 21, 2014, is DENIED;
- 16 4. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Defendant Steadman is
17 required to file an Answer to the Complaint; and
- 18 5. This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: July 15, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28