1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MAXIMO BERREONDO,	1:11-cv-00432-OWW-DLB-(PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ODDED DENIVING MOTION FOD
13	V.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	JONATHAN AKANNO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	( MOTION #8)
15 16	Defendants.	( MOTION #8)
		( MOTION #8)
16	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed	_/
16 17	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff file does not have a constitutional right to a	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff
16 17 18	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113
16 17 18 19	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i>	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff
16 17 18 19 20	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i> 9 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain y request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 exceptional circumstances the court ma section 1915(e)(1). <i>Rand</i> , 113 F.3d at 1	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i> 9 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain y request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 exceptional circumstances the court ma section 1915(e)(1). <i>Rand</i> , 113 F.3d at 1 Without a reasonable method of	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i> 9 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain y request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 525.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 exceptional circumstances the court ma section 1915(e)(1). <i>Rand</i> , 113 F.3d at 1 Without a reasonable method of volunteer counsel only in the most series	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i> 9 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain y request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 525. 2 securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	On April 28, 2011, plaintiff filed does not have a constitutional right to a F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and th pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <i>Ma</i> <i>District of Iowa</i> , 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 exceptional circumstances the court ma section 1915(e)(1). <i>Rand</i> , 113 F.3d at 1 Without a reasonable method of volunteer counsel only in the most seric "exceptional circumstances exist, the di	d a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff ppointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v. Rowland</i> , 113 e court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff <i>allard v. United States District Court for the Southern</i> 9 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain y request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 525. 5 securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek ous and exceptional cases. In determining whether

-1-

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even	
2	if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations	
3	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with	
4	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a	
5	determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record	
6	in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <i>Id.</i>	
7	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY	
8	DENIED, without prejudice.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated:May 12, 2011/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28		
	-2-	

I