

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 KEVIN DARNELL BRYANT ) Case No.: 1:11-cv-00446-LJO-BAM PC  
12 )  
13 Plaintiff, ) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR  
14 v. ) RECONSIDERATION BY THE DISTRICT  
15 P. GALLAGHER, et al., ) COURT OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S RULING AS  
16 Defendants. ) MOOT  
) (ECF No. 163)  
)  
)  
)

17 Plaintiff Kevin Darnell Bryant (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma  
18 pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on  
19 Plaintiff’s first amended complaint, filed on July 5, 2011, against Defendant Romero for deliberate  
20 indifference to serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment; and against Defendants  
21 Gallagher and Romero for conspiracy, retaliation in violation of the Eighth Amendment and failure to  
22 protect in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

23 On October 9, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge’s order  
24 issued on September 25, 2014, which denied Plaintiff’s motion to compel the production of medical  
25 records subpoenaed from Mercy Hospital as moot and denied Plaintiff’s motion for the issuance of  
26 contempt charges against Mercy Hospital. Plaintiff argues that that Magistrate Judge’s decision was  
27 clearly erroneous because he did not receive the “original color photos or real photo exact duplicates  
28 of the photos of [his] injured leg taken by Mercy Hospital staff” as part of the medical records

1 received from Mercy Hospital. Plaintiff reportedly only received five black and white photocopies.  
2 (ECF No. 163, p. 1.)

3 The crux of Plaintiff's motion is that the Magistrate Judge erred because Plaintiff did not  
4 receive five color photos from Mercy Hospital. (ECF No. 163, p. 5.) However, according to the  
5 record in this action, defense counsel served Plaintiff with color copies of the five photographs  
6 contained in his Mercy Hospital medical records via first class mail on October 14, 2014. (ECF Nos.  
7 164, 164-1.) As Plaintiff has been sent the requested color photos, his motion for reconsideration is no  
8 longer necessary and is HEREBY DENIED as moot.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: November 10, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28