2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
 10 11 12 13 14 	E & J GALLO WINERY, Plaintiff, v. TOLDEDO ENGINEERING CO., INC.,	 1:11-cv-476 LJO GSA ORDER REGARDING RECENTLY FILED DISCOVERY MOTIONS
14 15 16	Defendant.))))
17 18 19	On October 5, 2012, Toledo Engineering Co. ("Toledo" or "Defendant"), filed a M to Compel E & J Gallo's ("Plaintiff" or "Gallo") Responses to a Request for Production of	

On October 5, 2012, Toledo Engineering Co. ("Toledo" or "Defendant"), filed a Motion to Compel E & J Gallo's ("Plaintiff" or "Gallo") Responses to a Request for Production of Documents. (Doc. 47). Toldeo also filed a Motion for Protective Order that same day. (Doc. 46). On October 5, 2012, Gallo filed a Motion to Compel Toledo's Further Responses to Interrogatories and Further Requests for Production of Documents. (Doc. 48).¹

In light of the numerous discovery disputes arising between the parties, this Court HEREBY ORDERS that a single joint statement in accordance with Local Rule 251(c), covering all three motions, and not exceeding a total of fifty (50) pages without exhibits, SHALL BE

¹ Toledo has also filed a Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order that will also be heard on October 26,
2012 at 9:30 a.m., in addition to these discovery disputes. (Doc. 43).

FILED no later than October 19, 2012. The parties must establish good cause and obtain prior Court approval to exceed this page limit. Failure to timely file the joint statement will result in the removal of these motions from the Court's calendar.

The parties are advised that the *personal* appearance of counsel is *required* at the hearing on October 26, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. The parties are encouraged to work together during meet and confer sessions prior to the hearing. However, if a resolution is not reached prior to the hearing, the parties shall be required to participate in a meet and confer session with each other and with Judge Austin on the day of the hearing; these sessions will last through the morning and possibly extend into the afternoon until either an agreement is reached, or until the Court determines the dispute is ripe for decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 10, 2012

/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE