1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and Cross-Defendant WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, I [Additional Counsel Identified at End]	rclaimant, NC.	
11	WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.		
	Facsimile: (415) 956-6457		
	[Additional Counsel Identified at End]		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION		
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION	
15	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO	
15 16		,	
	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER	
16	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs.	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT	
16 17	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE	
16 17 18	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant.	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs.	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs. BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs.	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs. BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs. BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Defendant. MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, Counterclaimant, vs. BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION,	Case No.: 1:11-CV-00695 LJO SKO STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.'S CROSS-	

1	MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
2	Third-Party Plaintiff, vs.
3	WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS,
4	INC.; BIG B CONSTRUCTION, INC.; FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY;
5	FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND; AND TRAVELERS
6	CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA,
7	Third-Party Defendants.
8	-
9	WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC.,
10	Counter-Complainant,
11	VS.
12	MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
13	Counter-Defendant.
14	CITY OF MODESTO,
15	Cross-Claimant, vs.
16	WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS,
17	INC.,
18	Cross-defendant
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
25 26	
27	
28	
20 NCV	

1

2 3

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Western Summit Constructors, Inc. ("WSCI"); Black & Veatch Corporation ("B&V"), Modesto Irrigation District ("MID"), Big B Construction, Inc.; Federal Insurance Company; Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland; Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America; and the City of Modesto ("the City"), through their respective attorneys of record, that WSCI may have leave to file its Cross-Complaint, a copy of which proposed Cross-Complaint is attached hereto.

The proposed Cross-Complaint adds a new party as a cross-defendant, Siemens Industry Inc. fka Siemens Water Technologies Corporation ("Siemens"), and adds crossclaims by WSCI against Siemens for Express Contractual Indemnity, Implied Contractual Indemnity, Equitable Indemnity, and Declaratory Relief. All of the proposed cross-claims arise from the membrane filtration system that Siemens delivered to WSCI for use on the Phase 2 Expansion and Optimization of the Modesto Regional Water Treatment Plant ("Project"), which Project is the subject of all existing claims among all current parties to this Action. Both MID and the City have asserted contract and tort claims against WSCI based in part on the work Siemens performed for WSCI on the Project. By the proposed Cross-Complaint, WSCI seeks indemnity from Siemens for those MID and City claims.

WSCI represents that it has acted diligently to evaluate its potential claims against Siemens and did not delay in its request to file the proposed Cross-Complaint. WSCI tendered to Siemens the claims asserted against WSCI in this action, which are the subject of its proposed Cross-Complaint, promptly after WSCI was served with those claims; the City's cross-complaint against WSCI was not deemed filed until March 27, 2012; and B&V, MID and WSCI all have submitted to the Court on April 27, 2012 proposed amendments to their respective pleadings.

The parties' Stipulation is based on the parties' intention to preserve the Court's time and resources by filing only necessary motions. By this Stipulation, no party stipulates or admits to the propriety or merit of any of WSCI's claims against Siemens in the proposed Cross-Complaint, or any of the allegations contained therein.

1	SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	DATED: April 30, 2012	DIEPENBROCK ELKIN LLP
4		
5		By: <u>/s/ Eileen M. Diepenbrock</u>
6		Eileen M. Diepenbrock
7		Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant/Counterclaimant WESTERN SUMMIT CONSTRUCTORS, INC. and
8 9		Third-Party Defendants FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY; FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND; and TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA
10		
11	DATED: April 30, 2012	WULFSBERG REESE COLVIG & FIRSTMANP
12		
13		By: <u>/s/ Stephen L. Cali</u>
14		H. James Wulfsberg Stephen L. Cali
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION
16		BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION
17		
18	DATED: April 30, 2012	HANSON BRIDGET LLP
19		Dy. /s/Tyson M. Shower
20		By: <u>/s/ Tyson M. Shower</u> Andrew G. Giacomini
21		Tyson M. Shower
22		Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third-Party Defendant and Counterdefendant MODESTO
23		IRRIGATION DISTRICT
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 2 -

DIEPENBROCK
ELKIN LLP

1 2		CLAPP MORONEY BELLAGAMBA VUCINICH BEEMAN AND SCHELEY	
3			
4	I	By: <u>/s/ Robert A. Bellagamba</u> Robert A. Bellagamba	
5			
6	Ï	Attorneys for Third Party Defendant BIG B CONSTRUCTION	
7			
8	DATED: April 30, 2012	DAVIDOVITZ & BENNETT LLP	
9			
10	I	By: <u>/s/ Patricia Lakner</u>	
11		Patricia Lakner	
12		Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimant and Cross- Claimant	
13		CITY OF MODESTO	
14			
15	<u>ORDER</u>		
16			
17	as described above. The Cross-Complaint on the docket (Doc. No. 100) shall be deemed		
18	filed and served on all parties as of the date of this Order.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20		/a/ Chaile IV Ohanta	
21	Dated: May 1, 2012	<u>/s/ Sheila K. Oberto</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
ı			
27			

DIEPENBROCK ELKIN LLP

IDENTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

1	IDENTIFICATION OF COUNSEL		
2	Counsel for Plaintiff Black & Veatch	Counsel for Western Summit Constructors, I	
3	Corporation Wulfsberg Reese Colvig & Firstman, PC	Diepenbrock Elkin LLP Eileen M. Diepenbrock	
	H. James Wulsfberg	emd@diepenbrock.com	
4	jwulfsberg@wulfslaw.com	Chris A. McCandless	
5	David James Hyndman dhyndman@wulsflaw.com	cam@diepenbrock.com Jonathan R. Marz	
6	Stephen L. Cali <u>scali@wulfslaw.com</u> 300 Lakeside Drive, 24 th Floor	jrm@diepenbrock.com 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1800	
7	Oakland, CA 94612-3524	Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	Lang, Richert & Patch	Neil Hall O'Donnell, nho@rjo.com	
	Mark L. Creede mlc@lrplaw.net Matthew W. Quall mwq@lrplaw.net	Aaron P. Silverman aps@rjo.com Rogers Joseph O'Donnell	
9	5200 North Palm Avenue, Fourth Floor	311 California Street, 10 th Floor	
10	Fresno, CA 93704	San Francisco, CA 94104	
11	Counsel for Modesto Irrigation District Hanson Bridget LLP	Counsel for Intervenor City of Modesto Davidovitz & Bennett LLP	
12	Andrew G. Giacomini agiacomini@hansonbridgett.com	Moris Davidovitz mdavidovitz@dblawsf.com	
13	Tyson M. Shower tshower@hansonbridgett.com	Patricia S. Lakner <u>plakner@dblawsf.com</u> One Embarcadero Center, Suite 750	
14	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500 Sacramento, CA 95814	San Francisco, CA 94111	
15	McCormick, Barsto, Sheppard,		
16	Wayte & Carruth LLP Stephen E. Carroll		
17	stephen.carroll@mccormickbarstow.com P.O. Box 28912		
18	5 River Park Place East Fresno, CA 93720-1501		
19		Counsel for Third Party Defendant Big B	
20		Construction, Inc. Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba,	
21		Vucinich, Beeman and Scheley Robert A. Bellagamba	
22		rbellagamba@clappmoroney.com 6130 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 275	
23		Pleasanton, CA 94588	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
۷٥		1	

DIEPENBROCK ELKIN LLP