

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANCISCO PERALTA Q,	CASE NO. 1:11-cv-00714-AWI-SKO (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT
v.	PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO OBEY
SIX UNKNOWN NAMES	COURT ORDER
AGENTS, et al.,	(Doc. 3)
Defendants.	

---

On May 4, 2011, Plaintiff Francisco Peralta Q, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, filed what was construed as a civil rights complaint. The complaint was not signed and it set forth no intelligible claims for relief. Because the Court cannot consider unsigned filings, the complaint was stricken from the record on May 9, 2011, and Plaintiff was ordered to file a signed complaint within thirty days. In addition, Plaintiff was ordered to either file a motion seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$350.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. Plaintiff has not timely complied with the Court’s order or otherwise responded, and Plaintiff was warned that this action would be dismissed if he did not comply.

A civil action may not proceed absent the submission of a pleading and either an application to proceed in forma pauperis or the filing fee. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915; Fed. R. Civ. P. 3. Because Plaintiff has not responded to the Court’s order to submit a signed complaint and either an application to proceed in forma pauperis or the filing fee, dismissal of this action is appropriate. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); Local Rule 110.

1           Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS this action dismissed, without prejudice, for  
2 failure to obey a court order.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 30, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28