## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 **EUGENE E. FORTE**, 1:11-cv-0318 AWI BAM 12 1:11-cv-0718 AWI BAM Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 14 **COUNTY OF MERCED, et al.,** CLARIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDERS OF THE COURT 15 Defendants. 16 17 This Order Addresses: **EUGENE E. FORTE,** 18 Case # 11-cv-0318 Doc. #'s 157, 159 & 161 Plaintiff, 19 Case # 11-cv-0718 Doc. #'s 92 & 94 v. 20 TOMMY JONES, et al., 21 Defendants. 22 23 24

Plaintiff has submitted motions in both cases seeking "clarification" of the documents noted above. For the most part, the requested "clarifications" do not involve orders of the court so much as the court's reasoning behind its orders. The court has reviewed the specific questions posed by Plaintiff and finds that, with only one or two exceptions, further elaboration on the

25

26

27

28

court's prior orders is not warranted.

The court does wish to clarify that, while the issuance of subpoenas will not be allowed for the purpose of testimony, any declarations or letters from persons who will voluntarily attest to Plaintiff's willingness to follow the orders of the court with respect to exclusion of evidence that is not relevant and with respect to rules of decorum at trial as imposed by the court will be gladly accepted. In addition, to the extent is has not been made explicit before, the court's concern with Plaintiff's competence is in regard to his conduct at trial. In particular, the court is concerned with Plaintiff's ability to confine himself to what the court determines is the relevant subject matter, and with his ability to conduct himself without the derisive and invective remarks that are common in his written submissions to the court. The court is not particularly concerned with Plaintiff's conduct with regard to written submissions.

Except for the foregoing clarification of the court's prior orders, Plaintiff's Motions for clarification or correction of orders as set forth in Document Numbers 157, 159 and 161 of Case Number 11-cv-0318; and Document Numbers 92 and 94 in Case Number 11-cv-0718 are hereby DENIED. The Clerk of the Court shall file this order in both the aforementioned cases.

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

20 Dated: <u>July 22, 2013</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED.