1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KEVIN B. BRIGGS County Counsel MICHAEL R. LINDEN Deputy County Counsel – State Bar No. FRESNO COUNTY COUNSEL 2220 Tulare Street, 5th Floor Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 600-3479 Facsimile: (559) 600-3480 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF FRESNO	192485
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION	
11 12	GENERAL SECURITY SERVICES CORPORATION,	Case No. 1:11-cv-00724 MJS
13		
14	V.	SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER THEREON.
15 16	COUNTY OF FRESNO, Defendant.	
17 18		
19	Plaintiff GENERAL SECURITY	Υ.
20 21 22 23 24 25	"Plaintiff") and defendant COUNTY OF FRESNO (hereinafter "Defendant") hereby	
	present the following Stipulation to Modify the current Scheduling Order in the above-	
	captioned action to continue the existing trial date.	
	RECITALS WHEREAS, on September 29, 2011, the Court issued its initial Scheduling	
	Order. In said order, the trial was set for January 29, 2013.	
	WHEREAS, on October 14, 2011, the parties consented to have Magistrate	
26	Judge Michael J. Seng be the judge for all purposes in this case.	
27 28	WHEREAS, on June 14, 2012, the Court issued an order, pursuant to the parties'	
20	stipulation, to continue the existing trial date to April 1, 2013.	
	Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order	1 Case No. 1:11-cv-00724 MJS

Π

1 WHEREAS, on February 19, 2013, counsel for parties tentatively agreed on behalf of their clients to engage in a settlement process. Under this process, the parties 2 will first meet and confer to determine the extent of the existing controversy. Once this 3 determination is made, it is the intention of the parties to engage in private mediation. 4 Due to the large number of pieces of electronic monitoring equipment subject to 5 Plaintiff's overall claim, the factual inquiry needed for the settlement process will be 6 extensive. As such, the parties believe that the current trial date of April 1, 2013 leaves 7 insufficient time for this process. 8

9 WHEREAS, on February 19, 2013, counsel for the parties conferred regarding a
10 new trial date. Based on the time needed for the above-described settlement process,
11 and the existing schedules for counsel, it was agreed that a trial date in late-July or
12 early-August of 2013 would be proposed.

WHEREAS, on February 19, 2013, counsel for Defendant contacted Laurie Yu,
the Courtroom Deputy for Judge Seng, concerning the parties' proposed stipulation and
order. Ms. Yu represented that the parties could propose August 6, 2013, as the new
trial date.

STIPULATION

Now, therefore, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between
 the parties, through their respective attorneys of record that:

1. The existing trial date of April 1, 2013 will be vacated, and the case will be
set for trial on August 6, 2013, at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom 6 of the United States District
Court in Fresno, California.

2. The Court will set a pre-trial conference on a date in early-July of 2013.

24 || ///

17

- 25 ///
- 26
- 27
- 28

| ///

Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	Dated: February 20, 2013		
3	KEVIN B. BRIGGS		
4	County Counsel		
5	By: <u>/s/ Michael Linden</u>		
6	Michael R. Linden, Deputy Attorneys for Defendant		
7			
8 9	Dated: February 20, 2013		
9 10	VAUGHN LEGAL GROUP		
11			
12	By: <u>/s/ Barak Vaughn</u> Barak Vaughn		
13	Attorney for Plaintiff		
14			
15	ORDER		
16			
17	2013, at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom 6 of the United States District Court in Fresno,		
18			
19			
20	Courtroom 6.		
21			
22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	Dated: <u>February 20, 2013</u> <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u>		
26	UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE		
27			
28			
	Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order 3 Case No. 1:11-cv-00724 MJS		