1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 ANTHONY NGUYEN, Case No. 1:11-cv-00809-AWI-SKO (PC) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER REGARDING SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT 12 v. (Doc. 124) 13 M. D. BITER, 14 Defendant. 15 Plaintiff Anthony Nguyen, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed 16 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on May 18, 2011. This action is proceeding 17 against Defendant Biter for violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution. 18 On September 16, 2014, Defendant filed a motion for terminating sanctions, and on 19 September 23, 2014, Defendant filed a motion to stay the proceedings. (Doc. 113.) Plaintiff filed 20 oppositions on October 1, 2014, and Defendant filed a reply on October 15, 2014. (Docs. 118, 21 119, 123.) 22 On October 20, 2014, Plaintiff submitted an additional exhibit in support of his opposition 23 to Defendant's motion for terminating sanctions. Plaintiff represents that the exhibit, a mail log, 24 was not previously available to him. Plaintiff is notified that permissible briefing is limited to a 25 motion, an opposition, and a reply, absent leave of court. Local Rule 230(l). In this instance, the 26 Court will make an exception and accept the supplemental exhibit because it appears to be 27 relevant and Plaintiff is entitled to some leniency as a pro se litigant. However, Plaintiff is 28

notified that Defendant's motion for terminating sanctions has been submitted upon the record, and briefing is now complete. Local Rule 230(l). If additional briefing is submitted without seeking leave of court, the filing will be summarily stricken from the record. *Id.* Accordingly, as set forth above, the parties are HEREBY NOTIFIED that the supplemental exhibit filed on October 20, 2014, will be considered, but there will be no further briefing absent a request for leave to file additional briefing, supported by good cause.1 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Sheila K. Oberto Dated: **October 28, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ The desire to cite additional authority or have the last word is not good cause.