1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	FRESNO ROCK TACO, LLC, CASE NO. CV F 11-0845 LJO SKO		
13	et al., ORDER AFTER OCTOBER 4, 2012 HEARING		
14	Plaintiffs,		
15	VS.		
16	NATIONAL SURETY CORPORATION,		
17	Defendant.		
18	/		
19	This Court held an October 4, 2012 hearing scheduled pursuant to an October 1, 2012 order to		
20	meet and confer as to the motions in limine. The parties had ignored this Court's order to meet and		
21	confer in a good faith and meaningful manner prior to filing their motions in limine, and had not taken		
22	seriously their duty to distill issues. Plaintiff Rock Taco, LLC appeared by telephone by counsel Richard		
23	Hamlish ("Mr. Hamlish"). Defendant National Surety Corporation ("defendant") appeared by telephone		
24	through counsel John Hager and Sean Daniel Cooney. For the reasons set forth on the record, this Court		
25	ORDERS as follows:		
26	1. No later than noon today, October 4, 2012, defendant shall prepare a statement that		
27	identifies and distill the issues that are remain contested for each of the pending motions		
28	in limine. This should be no more than one paragraph for each of the pending motions.		
	1		

1	2.	No later than noon tomorrow, October 5, 2012, Mr. Hamlish shall respond to defendant's	
2		statement. He may agree or disagree with defendant's statement and offer his own	
3		paragraph to explain the distilled issue that is disputed for each motion.	
4	3.	No later than 4:00 p.m. tomorrow, October 5, 2012, the parties shall file a joint statement	
5		that explains what issues are left for each motion.	
6	4.	This Court shall read and review the parties' joint statement and the pending motions.	
7		The Court intends to rule on these motions on the papers submitted. If, after reading and	
8		reviewing the motions, this Court determines a hearing to be necessary, this Court shall	
9		set a hearing on the motions.	
10	5.	The Court orders that the joint statement shall be filed in lieu of oppositions to the	
11		pending motions in limine. No oppositions shall be filed or considered unless further	
12		ordered by this Court.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: <u>Oc</u>	tober 4, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			