1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	INTER OF	TEC DICTRICT COLIDT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	DWAYNE L. BURGESS,	Case No. 1:11-cv-00921-LJO-JLT (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION TO GRANT DEFENDANTS
12	V.	MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S STATE COURT CLAIMS AND REQUESTED
13	RAYA, et al.,	DECLARATORY RELIEF
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 35, 47)
15		
16		
17	Plaintiff, Dwayne L. Burgess, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	Plaintiff is proceeding on his claims against Defendants Raya, Garcia, Polanco, and	
21	Fernandez for battery under California law and excessive force in violation of the Eight	
22	Amendment and against Defendants Raya and Polanco under the additional claims of conspiracy	
23	and retaliation in violation of the First Amendment as stated in the Third Amended Complaint.	
24	(Docs. 27, 29, 32.)	
25	On April 15, 2104, a findings and recommendation issued to grant Defendants' motion	
26	(Doc. 35) to dismiss Plaintiff's claims under California law based on his failure to comply with	
27	the California Tort Claims Act ("CTCA") and to dismiss Plaintiff's request for declaratory relief.	
28		

1 (Doc. 47.) The Findings and Recommendation was served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. No 2 3 objections have been filed. 4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a 5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the 6 Findings and Recommendation to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. 8 the Findings and Recommendation, filed April 2, 2014 (Doc. 47), 9 is adopted in full; 2. 10 Plaintiff's battery claim under California law against Defendants Raya, Fernandez, 11 Polanco, and Garcia is DISMISSED with prejudice; 12 3. Plaintiff's request for declaratory relief is DISMISSED; and 13 4. the matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings. 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 Dated: May 15, 2014 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28