| 1  |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2  |   |   |  |
| 3  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |  |
| 4  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |
| 5  |   |   |  |
| 6  | PETER FUGAWA,   | Case No. 1:11-cv-00966-LJO-SKO (PC)   |  |
| 7  | Plaintiff,  | ORDER TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AND DEFENSE COUNSEL TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR THEIR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER |  |
| 8  | v.  |   |  |
| 9  | DeARMOND,   |   |  |
| 10 | Defendant.  | (Doc. 103)  |  |
| 11 |   | TEN (10) DAY DEADLINE   |  |
| 12 |   |   |  |
| 13 | Plaintiff, Peter Fugawa, is a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis in this civil rights    |   |  |
| 14 | action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 11, 2018, this action proceeded to a             |   |  |
| 15 | settlement conference which resulted in a settlement. (Doc. 103.) The Court ordered dismissal     |   |  |
| 16 | documents to be filed within sixty (60) days. The allowed time has now lapsed, and dismissal      |   |  |
| 17 | documents have not been filed.  |   |  |
| 18 | Thus, it is <b>HEREBY ORDERED</b> that, within ten (10) days of the date of service of this       |   |  |
| 19 | order, the parties SHALL show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for their      |   |  |
| 20 | failure to comply with the Court's order. Alternatively, they may file dismissal documents within |   |  |
| 21 | the same time frame.  |   |  |
| 22 |   |   |  |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   | IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 24 | Dated: February 13, 2019  | s  Sheila K. Oberto   |  |
| 25 |   | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |  |
| 26 |   |   |  |
| 27 |   |   |  |
| 28 |   |   |  |