

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 SOLOMON STANLEY,

CASE NO. CV F 11-0981 LJO GSA

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE  
SANCTIONED**

10  
11 vs.

(Doc. 34.)

12  
13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16  
17 This Court's October 24, 2013 order ("October 24 order") required plaintiff, no later  
18 than January 31, 2014, to file and serve papers to indicate whether plaintiff dismisses his Title  
19 VII claims and whether plaintiff consents to the conduct of further proceedings by a U.S.  
20 Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff has disobeyed the October 24 order and has failed to file required  
21 papers.

22 This Court's Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with an order of this  
23 Court "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by  
24 statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."

25 Accordingly, this Court ORDERS plaintiff, no later than February 19, 2014, to file  
26 papers to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to file and serve required  
27 papers and for disobedience of the October 24 order. As a sanction, this Court contemplates to  
28 dismiss this action and/or to impose a \$750 sanction on plaintiff and/or his counsel. This order

1 to show cause will be deemed discharged, if no later than February 19, 2014, papers are filed to  
2 dismiss or conclude this action in its entirety.

3 This Court ADMONISHES the parties and counsel that they must observe and comply  
4 with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's Local Rules and orders and are  
5 subject to sanctions as this Court deems appropriate.

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: February 11, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE