1 2	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney HEATHER MARDEL JONES Assistant U.S. Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, California 93721	
3		
4	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
5		
6	Attorneys for the United States	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 1:11-CV-01000-AWI-GSA
12	Plaintiff,	FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
13	v.	
14	APPROXIMATELY \$6,822.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,	
15	Defendant.)
16))
17	Pursuant to the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court finds:	
18	1. This is a civil forfeiture act	ion against the defendant approximately
19	\$6,822.00 in U.S. Currency (hereafter "defendant currency") seized on or about	
20	December 23, 2010.	
21	2. A Verified Complaint for Forfeiture <i>In Rem</i> ("Complaint") was filed on	
22	June 16, 2011, alleging that said defendant currency is subject to forfeiture to the	

United States of America pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6). An Amended[⊥] Verified Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem, ("Amended Complaint") was filed on June 17,

25 2011, alleging that said defendant currency is subject to forfeiture to the United
26 States of America pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

23

24

27 3. On June 29, 2011, the Clerk issued a Warrant for Arrest for the
28 defendant currency. The warrant for the defendant currency was duly executed on

1/ The Amended Complaint was filed solely to correct typographical errors.

Final Judgment of Forfeiture

1

July 19, 2011. 1

2 4. Notice of the Forfeiture Action is pending publication on the official 3 internet government forfeiture site www.forfeiture.gov.

In addition to the public notice on the official internet government 4 5. forfeiture site www.forfeiture.gov, actual notice or attempted notice was given to 5 the following individuals: 6

7 8

Eduardo Montes Herrera a.

b. Jorge Herrera Montes

9

11

17

18

Daniel A. Bacon, attorney c.

10 6. The Clerk of the Court entered a Clerk's Certificate of Entry of Default against Jorge Herrera Montes on September 16, 2011. Pursuant to Local Rule 540, the United States and potential claimant Eduardo Montes Herrera joined in a 12 request that as part of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture in this case the Court 13 14 enter a default judgment against the interest, if any, of Jorge Herrera Montes.

7. 15 No parties have filed claims or answers in this matter, and the time in which any person or entity may file a claim and answer has expired. 16

Based on the above findings, and the files and records of the Court, it is hereby ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

19 1. The Court adopts the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture entered into by and between the parties to this action. 20

21 2. That judgment is hereby entered against potential claimants Eduardo Montes Herrera, Jorge Herrera Montes, and all other potential claimants who have 22 23 not filed claims in this action.

3. 24 Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, \$5,422.00 of the defendant approximately \$6,822.00 in U.S. Currency, together with any interest 25 26 that has accrued on the entire \$6,822.00, shall be forfeited to the United States 27 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), to be disposed of according to law. 28 ///

Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 45
 days thereafter, \$1,400.00 of the defendant approximately \$6,822.00 in U.S.
 Currency shall be returned to potential claimant Eduardo Montes Herrera through
 his attorney Daniel A. Bacon, 5200 North Palm Avenue, Suite 408, Fresno,
 California 93704-2225, (559) 241-7000.

5. That the United States of America and its servants, agents, and
employees and all other public entities, their servants, agents, and employees, are
released from any and all liability arising out of or in any way connected with the
seizure, arrest, or forfeiture of the defendant currency. This is a full and final
release applying to all unknown and unanticipated injuries, and/or damages arising
out of said seizure, arrest, or forfeiture, as well as to those now known or disclosed.
The parties waived the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542.

6. That pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and the allegations set
for the *Amended* Complaint filed on June 17, 2011, the Court finds that there was
reasonable cause for arrest and seizure of the defendant currency, and for the
commencement and prosecution of this forfeiture action, and a Certificate of
Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 shall be entered accordingly.

18

7.

All parties are to bear their own costs and attorneys fees.

8. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California, Hon.
 Anthony W. Ishii, Chief District Judge, shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms
 of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture.

22 ///

23

24 ///

///

- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

CERTIFICATE OF REASONABLE CAUSE

Based upon the allegations set forth in the *Amended* Complaint filed July 17, 2011, and the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein, the Court enters this Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was reasonable cause for the seizure or arrest of the defendant currency, and for the commencement and prosecution of this forfeiture action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 4, 2012

chlii

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE