| (HC) Bergne v. Go | nzalez I | Doc. 3 |
|-------------------|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | PETER M. BERGNE, | 1:11-cv-01002-SKO-(HC) |
| 12 | | ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR |
| 13 | Petitioner, | THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 14 | vs. | CALIFORNIA |
| 15 | TERRI GONZALEZ, | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 | |
| 20 | U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. | |
| 21 | The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity | |
| 22 | jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants | |
| 23 | reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions | |
| 24 | giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is | |
| 25 | situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which | |
| 26 | the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). | |
| 27 | In this case, the petitioner is challenging a decision of California's Board of Parole Hearings | |
| 28 | made after a hearing held at California Men's Colony East, where Petitioner is housed. California Men's | |
| | | 1 |

Colony East is located in San Luis Obispo, California, which in turn is in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 21, 2011 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE