

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YOUNG YIL JO, 1:11-cv-01035-OWW-GSA-PC
Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

vs.

SIX UNKNOWN NAMES AGENTS, et al.,
Defendants.

Plaintiff Young Yil Jo (“plaintiff”) is a prisoner in federal custody at the Airpark Unit Correctional Center in Big Spring, Texas. To date, plaintiff has filed more than 120 civil cases in this district. Twelve of the cases were transferred to the United States District Court for Minnesota because venue was improper in this district.¹ Based on those transfers, plaintiff was placed on notice that cases in which he named as defendants T. C. Outlaw, George W. Bush, Bill Clinton, John Ashcroft, and/or Janet Reno should not be filed in this district because of improper venue.

¹ The court takes judicial notice of the court records in CV-F-03-5023 OWW TAG P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-03-5168 REC LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5281 AWI LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5544 AWI HGB P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5799 REC SMS P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5913 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6115 REC DLB P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6301 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6519 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5223 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5381 OWW HGB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-5825 OWW LJO P Jo v. Bush.

1 Plaintiff has begun to file new cases naming “Six Unknown Agents” as defendants. Plaintiff’s
2 allegations, however, are the same as those in which he previously named Bush, Clinton, Ashcroft, et.
3 al. Plaintiff now resides in Big Spring, Texas, which is located in Howard County, within the Northern
4 District of Texas. Therefore, venue in this district is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Plaintiff’s
5 persistence in filing cases in this district despite the lack of proper venue is in bad faith and is vexatious.²
6 For this reason, the court declines to transfer this case and shall instead dismiss it, without prejudice.
7 Costlow v. Weeks, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986) (court may raise defective venue *sua sponte*).

8 Accordingly, this action is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED, without prejudice, for lack of
9 venue.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 **Dated: June 27, 2011**

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ² As further evidence of plaintiff’s bad faith and vexatiousness, the court takes judicial notice of the court records
25 in case numbers CV-F-04-5298 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5336 REC LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5540 OWW SMS
26 P Jo v. Holderman, CV-F-04-5800 OWW WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5865 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5934
27 REC SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5962 REC DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5985 OWW LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6064
28 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6087 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6273 AWI LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6356
OWW SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6411 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6521 OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6543
OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-6576 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush. These sixteen cases were dismissed on the same
ground that the instant action is dismissed - lack of venue where plaintiff is already on notice that venue is incorrect in this
district.

28