1	On September 23, 2011, the parties engaged in Rule 26 conference in preparation of				
2	this case and in anticipate of the scheduled status conference. The parties agreed a cost				
3	effective way to litigate this case was to engage in informal discovery and exchange of				
4					
5	documents. This way the parties could prepare for alternative dispute resolution, either				
6	private mediation or through the Court. In light of this agreement, the parties further agree				
7	Defendant Vision Value, LLC dba Stanton Optical's responsive pleading should be filed on				
8	or before November 4, 2011.				
9		0 1 7 0011			
10	Dated:	October 5, 2011	MICH	IAEL MALK, ESQ. APC	
11					
12			D	/a Mi ahaal Malla	
13			By:	/s Michael Malk Michael Malk	
14				Attorneys for Plaintiffs ANNALISA LEWIS and	
15				MICHELLE CATBAGAN	
16					
17					
18	Dated:	October 5, 2011		, DYMOTT, FRANK,	
19				ALL & TREXLER ofessional Law Corporation	
20					
21			D	/ D - '1D H H	
22			By:	/s David P. Hall Hugh A. McCabe	
23				David P. Hall Attorneys for Defendant	
24				VISION VALUE LLC dba STANTON	
25				OPTICAL	
26					
27					
28					
			2		

1	<u>ORDER</u>				
2	In light of the foregoing Stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the Court				
3	approves the Stipulation. Defendant Vision Value, LLC dba Stanton Optical shall file its				
4	responsive pleading on or before November 4, 2011.				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
12	11 0 0 C C				
13	Dated: October 5, 2011				
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					