1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ROBERT VILLA,	Case No. 1:11-cv-01080-LJO-BAM (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER VACATING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING
12	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF No. 29)
13	F. GONZALEZ, et al.,	``````````````````````````````````````
14	Defendants.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF ACTION
15		WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
16	,	FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE
17	/	
18	I. Background	
19	Plaintiff Robert Villa, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis,	
20	filed this civil rights action pursuant to <u>42 U.S.C. § 1983</u> on June 30, 2011. Following screening,	
21	this action proceeded against Defendants Garikaparthi, Vue, Joaquin, and Shiesha for deliberate	
22	indifference to serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment.	
23	On July 11, 2014, Defendants file a motion to dismiss this action with prejudice for	
24	failure to prosecute, or to vacate and reset the Discovery and Scheduling Order. Plaintiff filed an	
25	opposition on August 29, 2014.	
26	On December 3, 2014, the Court issued Findings and Recommendations that Defendants'	
27	motion to dismiss be granted in part and denied in part. Specifically, the Court recommended that	
28	Defendants' motion to dismiss this action with	prejudice for failure to prosecute be denied and

1	Defendants' alternative motion to vacate and reset the Discovery and Scheduling Order be		
2	granted. The Findings and Recommendations served on Plaintiff were returned by the United		
3	State Postal Service as undeliverable, "Not in Custody" on December 11, 2014.		
4	II. Discussion		
5	Plaintiff is required to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Local		
6	Rule 183(b) provides:		
7	Address Changes. A party appearing in propria persona shall keep the Court and		
8	plaintiff in propria persona by the Clerk is returned by the U.S. Postal Service,		
9 10	three (63) days thereafter of a current address, the Court may dismiss the action		
11			
12	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) also provides for dismissal of an action for failure to		
12	prosecute. <sup>1</sup>		
13	According to the Court's docket, Plaintiff's address change was due no later than February		
14	20, 2015. Plaintiff has failed to file a change of address and he has not otherwise been in contact		
15	with the Court. "In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, the district		
10	court is required to weigh several factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of		
17	litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4)		
10 19	the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less		
	drastic sanctions." <u>Carey v. King</u> , 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988) (internal quotation marks		
20	and citation omitted); accord Omstead v. Dell, Inc., 594 F.3d 1081, 1084 (9th Cir. 2010); In re		
21	Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006).		
22	These factors guide a court in deciding what to do, and are not conditions that must be met in		
23	order for a court to take action. In re PPA, 460 F.3d at 1226 (citation omitted).		
24 25	This case has been pending since 2011, and the expeditious resolution of litigation and the		
25	Court's need to manage its docket weigh in favor of dismissal. <u>Id. at 1227</u> . More importantly,		
26	given the Court's inability to communicate with Plaintiff, there are no other reasonable		
27	<sup>1</sup> Courts may dismiss actions sup sponta under Pula (1(b) based on the plaintiff's failure to prosecute. Usua Courses		
28	<sup>1</sup> Courts may dismiss actions sua sponte under <u>Rule 41(b)</u> based on the plaintiff's failure to prosecute. <u>Hells Canyon</u> <u>Preservation Council v. U. S. Forest Serv.</u> , 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (citation omitted).		

alternatives available to address Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and his failure to apprise
 the Court of his current address. *In re PPA*, 460 F.3d at 1228-29; *Carey*, 856 F.2d at 1441. The
 Court will therefore recommend that this action be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to
 prosecute this action. Additionally, the pending Findings and Recommendations regarding
 Defendants' motion to dismiss will be vacated.

6

## III. Conclusion, Order and Recommendation

For the reasons stated, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Findings and Recommendations
issued on December 3, 2014, regarding Defendants' motion to dismiss are VACATED. Further, it
is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, based on
Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 183(b).

11 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District 12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 13 fourteen (14) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, the parties may 14 The document should be captioned "Objections to file written objections with the Court. 15 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the "right to challenge the 16 17 magistrate's factual findings" on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) 18 (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 2, 2015

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

19

## Isl Barbara A. McAuliffe

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3