1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JOHN EDWARD MITCHELL,	) Case No.: 1:11-cv-01205 – LJO – JLT (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>) ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO</li> <li>) PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</li> <li>) TO EFFECTUATE SERVICE OF</li> <li>) PROCESS TO R. INDERMILL (AKA R.</li> <li>) INDEMILL)</li> </ul>
12	v.	
13	PENA, et al.,	
14	Defendant.	
15		) )
16	On November 13, 2012, the Court directed the United States Marshal to serve Defendant R.	
17	Indermill (aka R. Indemill) with the summons and complaint. (Doc. 17). On September 18, 2013, the	
18	Marshal returned the summons unexecuted. (Doc. 31). The Marshal reported that Indermill (aka Indemill)	
19	was no longer employed with CSP-Corcoran and that the facility possessed no forwarding information for	
20	this individual. <u>Id</u> .	
21	ORDER	
22	Accordingly, it is <b>HEREBY ORDEREI</b>	<b>D</b> that within $\underline{14 \text{ days}}$ from the date of this order, Plaintiff
23	SHALL provide additional information as to v	where Defendant R. Indermill (aka R. Indemill) may be
24	located.	
25	///	
26		
27		1
28		

1	Plaintiff is advised that his failure to comply with the Court's order will result in a	
2	recommendation that the matter be dismissed as to Defendant R. Indermill (aka R. Indemill)	
3	pursuant to Rule 4(m).	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: September 20, 2013 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27	2	
28		