## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VIOLA COPPOLA, et al.,

**Plaintiffs** 

V.

**GREGORY SMITH, et al.,** 

**Defendants** 

AND RELATED CLAIMS

**CASE NO. 1:11-CV-1257 AWI BAM** 

ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO SEND THE PARTIES THE COURT'S STANDARD FORM TO CONSENT TO OR DECLINE MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION

ORDER REQUIRING THE PARTIES TO RETURN THE FORMS WITHIN TEN DAYS

Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Judge caseload in the entire nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as expeditiously as desired.

The Court's trial schedule is being filled, often with multiple cases being set for the same date. The law requires the Court give any criminal case priority over civil trials and other matters, and the Court must proceed with criminal trials even if a civil trial is older or was set earlier. If multiple trials are scheduled to begin on the same day, a civil trial will trail day to day or week to week until completion of any criminal case or older civil case. Although no trial date has been set in this case, this case may be scheduled with other case. It is therefore possible that this case will be subject to trailing, and the parties will have little notice before a trial would actually begin.

The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 28 U.S.C. 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

Product
 par
 Wi
 Ma
 The
 and
 Un
 Cir
 g

Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The Court will direct the Clerk of the Court to provide the parties with the Court's standard form to consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Within ten days of this order's date of service, the parties shall either consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction by filling out the requisite forms and returning them to the Court. The parties are advised that the same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and United States Article III District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

Further, for the parties' information, the Fresno Division, whenever possible, is utilizing United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the country as Visiting Judges. It is possible that this case may be reassigned to a Visiting Judge at some point in the future. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern District of California.

Finally, the parties are hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk is DIRECTED to provide the parties with the forms that will allow them to consent to or decline Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction; and
- 2. Within ten days from the service of this order, the parties shall notify the Court whether they consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction by filling out the enclosed forms and returning them to the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2013

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE