

1 **GREBEN & ASSOCIATES**
 2 125 E. DE LA GUERRA ST., STE 203
 3 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101
 4 TEL: 805-963-9090
 5 FAX: 805-963-9098

6 Jan A. Greben, SBN 103464
 7 jan@grebenlaw.com
 8 Christine M. Monroe, SBN 304573
 9 christine@grebenlaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs THE VIOLA M. COPPOLA IRREVOCABLE TRUST, GARY
 11 COPPOLA, and THE TRUST OF ANTHONY M. COPPOLA

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION

14 THE VIOLA M. COPPOLA IRREVOCABLE
 15 TRUST, GARY COPPOLA, and THE TRUST
 16 OF ANTHONY M. COPPOLA;
 17
 18 Plaintiffs,
 19
 20 v.
 21 PARAGON CLEANERS (formerly sued as
 22 GREGORY SMITH, an individual); et al.
 23
 24 Defendants.

Case No.: 1:11-CV-01257-AWI-BAM

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 SUBSTITUTING PARTIES AND
 DIRECTING CLERK TO AMEND
 CAPTION**

RELATED CROSS AND COUNTER-CLAIMS

25 Plaintiffs Viola Coppola, Gary Coppola, and the Trust of Anthony M. Coppola (collectively
 26 “Plaintiffs”) and cross-defendants, David H. Nash and Richard P. Nash (collectively “Nash
 27 Defendants”) hereby stipulate to substitute to correct the identity of the trust names under which
 28 cross-defendants are name in Plaintiffs’ Sixth Amended Complaint as follows.

Whereas, Plaintiffs named the Jane Higgins Nash Trust (“Trust”) as a defendant in their
 initial complaint, being ignorant of the Trust’s successor trustees.

Whereas, on January 24, 2014, Plaintiffs and the Nash Defendants (collectively “the
 Parties”) entered into a stipulation to join the Nash Defendants to Plaintiff’s Complaint as Doe 2
 and Doe 3, respectively, based on the information and belief that these parties were successor
 trustees to the William P. Nash and Jane H. Nash Revocable Trust (“Revocable Trust”), and under

1 the belief that this trust was a successor trust or successor owner of the property that is at issue in
2 this case.

3 Whereas, the Court entered the order, filed as Document 199, and the Nash Defendants were
4 added as Doe 2 and Doe 3 in this case as trustees for the Revocable Trust.

5 Whereas, since joining the Nash Defendants, Plaintiffs have learned through discovery that
6 these trustees were erroneously sued under the Revocable Trust, which is a different Nash trust, and
7 Plaintiffs wish to correct the identification of the true identity so that the Nash Defendants are
8 named in their correct capacity.

9 Whereas, the deadline to move to amend the pleadings and add parties is September 30,
10 2015, and the Parties wish to comply with this deadline and bring this stipulation in good faith.

11 The Parties hereby stipulate to substitute the parties as follows:

12 1. The current parties to the Complaint, namely:

- 13 a. Doe 2, David H. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash and
14 Jane H. Nash Revocable Trust; and
- 15 b. Doe 3, Richard P. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash
16 and Jane H. Nash Revocable Trust.

17 2. Shall be replaced with the parties as follows (“Successor Defendants”):

- 18 a. Doe 2, David H. Nash as successor trustee of the Jane Nash Trust, a trust
19 created under the terms of the Last Will and Testament of Mabel Elaine
20 Higgins, formerly known as the Mabel Elaine Higgins Testamentary Trust
21 and commonly known as the Jane Higgins Nash Trust.
- 22 b. Doe 3, Richard P. Nash as successor trustee of the Jane Nash Trust, a trust
23 created under the terms of the Last Will and Testament of Mabel Elaine
24 Higgins, formerly known as the Mabel Elaine Higgins Testamentary Trust
25 and commonly known as the Jane Higgins Nash Trust.

26 The Successor Defendants shall be added to the allegations and claims existing against the
27 Jane Higgins Nash Trust as if they were fully set forth against the Successor Defendants, and each
28 of them. The answer filed by the Jane Higgins Nash Trust will serve as the answer on behalf of

1 each of the Successor Defendants as if it were fully set forth by each of the Successor Defendants
2 individually.

3 The Plaintiffs are hereby added, on behalf of the Successor Defendants, to the allegations
4 and counterclaims made by the Jane Higgins Nash Trust as if they were fully set forth against the
5 Plaintiffs, and each of them, in the answer and counterclaims filed by the Jane Higgins Nash Trust.

6 As a result of the above stipulation, Plaintiffs hereby dismiss without prejudice from their
7 complaint, David H. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash and Jane H. Nash
8 Revocable Trust; and Richard P. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash and Jane
9 H. Nash Revocable Trust, only.

10
11 Date: August 20, 2015

GREBEN & ASSOCIATES

12 /s/ Jan A. Greben

13 Jan A. Greben

Christine M. Monroe

14 Attorneys for the Viola M. Coppola Irrevocable Trust,
15 Gary Coppola and the Trust of Anthony M. Coppola

16 Date: August 20, 2015

WILLIAMS, BRODERSEN & PRITCHETT LLP

17 /s/ Steven R. Williams

18 Steven R. Williams

19 Attorneys for The Jane Higgins Nash Trust; Jane Nash
20 as Executor of the Estate of Decatur Higgins AKA the
21 Estate of Mabel Elaine Higgins; Nash Properties, LLC,
22 David H. Nash, as the successor co-trustee of the
23 William P. Nash and Jane H. Nash Revocable Trust,
24 and Richard P. Nash, as the successor co-trustee of the
25 William P. Nash and Jane H. Nash Revocable Trust
26
27
28

ORDER

1
2 Based on the agreement of the parties, the stipulation is GRANTED in full. The Clerk of the
3 Court SHALL substitute the parties as follows:

4 1. The current parties to the Complaint, namely:

- 5 a. David H. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash and Jane
6 H. Nash Revocable Trust; and
7 b. Richard P. Nash as the successor co-trustee of the William P. Nash and Jane
8 H. Nash Revocable Trust.

9 2. Shall be replaced with the parties as follows (“Successor Defendants”):

- 10 a. David H. Nash as successor trustee of the Jane Nash Trust, a trust created
11 under the terms of the Last Will and Testament of Mabel Elaine Higgins,
12 formerly known as the Mabel Elaine Higgins Testamentary Trust and
13 commonly known as the Jane Higgins Nash Trust.
14 b. Richard P. Nash as successor trustee of the Jane Nash Trust, a trust created
15 under the terms of the Last Will and Testament of Mabel Elaine Higgins,
16 formerly known as the Mabel Elaine Higgins Testamentary Trust and
17 commonly known as the Jane Higgins Nash Trust.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: August 27, 2015

20 */s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe*
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28