1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7 8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	JAMES EDWARD BOWELL,	CASE No. 1:11-cv-0	1350-AWI-MIS (PC)
3 10	SAMES EDWARD BOWLEE,	FINDINGS AND RECO	
11	Plaintiff,	FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS	
12	V.	(ECF Nos. 10, 14)	
13	A. DIAZ, et al.,		
14	Defendente	OBJECTIONS DUE WI (14) DAYS	THIN FOURTEEN
15	Defendants.		
16	/		
17	Plaintiff James Edward Bowell is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma		
18	pauperis in this civil rights action filed August 15, 2011 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	(Compl., ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff declined Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (Decline		
20	Magistrate, ECF No. 5.)		
21	The Court screened Plaintiff's Complaint and on September 28, 2012 issued its		
22	order requiring that, by not later than November 1, 2012, Plaintiff either file an amended		
23	pleading or notify the Court of his desire to proceed only on the cognizable Eighth		
24	Amendment failure to protect claim against Defendants Diaz and Rodriguez. (Order		
25	Directing Plaintiff to Amend or Notify, ECF No. 10.)		
26	On November 20, 2012, the Court issued an order to show cause why this case		
27	should not be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's September 28th		
28	order to amend or notify. (OSC re Dismiss., ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff filed a response to the		

order to show cause on November 30, 2012, notifying of his desire to proceed only on
 the cognizable Eighth Amendment failure to protect claim against Defendants Diaz and
 Rodriguez. (Opp'n to Order, ECF No. 14.)

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's Complaint, except for his Eighth
Amendment failure to protect claim against Defendants Diaz and Rodriguez should now
be dismissed and that all Defendants named in this action except for Defendants Diaz
and Rodriguez should also now be dismissed without prejudice by the District Judge.

8 These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District 9 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 10 Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, any party may file written objections with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. Such 11 12 a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and 13 Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within ten (10) 14 days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. 15 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 16

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20 Dated: <u>December 3, 2012</u>

Michael J. S.

-2-