| BY, STUART & BENING | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ALEXANDER F. STUART, SBN 96141 GEORGE W. DOWELL, SBN 234759 WILLOUGHBY, STUART & BENING 50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 400 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 289-1972 Facsimile: (408) 295-6375 E-Mail: afs@wsblaw.net JONATHAN A. AZRAEL, ESQ. (Pending Admission Pro Hac Vice) JOHN R. SOLTER JR., ESQ. (Pending Admission Pro Hac Vice) AZRAEL, FRANZ, SCHWAB & LIPOWITZ, LLC 101 E. Chesapeake Avenue, 5th Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21286 Telephone: (410) 821-6800 Facsimile: (410) 821-1265 E-Mail: | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 11 12 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES and HARRIS WOOLF ALMONDS | | | |
| | 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| | 14 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| | 15 | FRESNO DIVISION | | | |
| пви | 16 | | | | |
| WILLO | 17 18 | CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES; and HARRIS WOOLF ALMONDS | E NO: 1:11-CV-01362-AWI-SMS | | |
| | 19 | Plaintiff, | | | |
| | 20 | STI | PULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING NFERENCE ORDER; SUPPORTING | | |
| | 21 | DEC | CLARATIONS OF GEORGE W. WELL AND TARA K. CLANCY; | | |
| | 22 | SOFTWARE, INC.; CDC SOFTWARE CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through | DER THEREON | | |
| | 23 | 100, inclusive, | | | |
| | 24 | Defendants. | | | |
| | 25 | | | | |
| | 26 | | | | |
| | 27 | | | | |
| | 28 | | | | |
| | | 1901.11579S STIDLILATION TO MODIEV SCHEDULING CONFEDENCE OF DED: SI | UDDODTING DEGLADATIONS OF GEODGE W. DOWELL AND | | |

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between, Plaintiffs CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES and HARRIS WOOLF ALMONDS and Defendants ROSS SYSTEMS, INC; CDC SOFTWARE, INC.; and CDC SOFTWARE CORPORATION that the Scheduling Conference Order issued on February 6, 2012 may be modified so that the trial date is continued one hundred and twenty (120) days to **July 15, 2013**. Furthermore, the parties stipulate that related discovery, motion, and court dates set forth in said Order may be modified by one hundred and twenty (120) days, as follows:

| | Original Date: | New Date: |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | |
| Expert Disclosure Deadline: | 10/05/12 | 02/05/13 |
| Supplemental Expert | 10/19/12 | 02/19/13 |
| Disclosure Deadline: | | |
| Discovery Deadline | 10/19/12 | 02/19/13 |
| (Non-Expert): | | |
| Discovery Deadline | 11/09/12 | 03/08/13 |
| (Expert): | | |
| Settlement Conference: | 11/14/12 | 1/18/13 |
| Non-Dispositive Motion | 11/15/12 | 03/15/13 |
| Filing Deadline: | | |
| Dispositive Motion Filing | 12/07/12 | 04/05/13 |
| <u>Deadline</u> : | | |
| Pre-Trial Conference: | 1/25/13 at 8:30 a.m. | 5/24/13 at 8:30 a.m. |
| | Courtroom 2/AWI | Courtroom 2/AWI |
| Trial Date: | 3/11/13 at 8:30 a.m. | 7/15/13 at 8:30 a.m. |
| | Courtroom 2/AWI (JT ~ 3 | Courtroom 2/AWI (JT ~ 3 |
| | weeks) | weeks) |

1901.11579S - 1 -

1 This stipulation is made in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 2 16(b)(4), United States District Court Eastern District Local Rule 143, and the provisions of this 3 Court's February 6, 2012 Scheduling Conference Order. 4 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD: 6 Dated: September 26, 2012 **BROWN EASSA & McLEOD LLP** 7 8 By: /s/ Tara Clancy 9 ROBERT D. EASSA TARA K. CLANCY 10 Attorneys for Defendants ROSS SYSTEMS, INC.; 11 CDC SOFTWARE CORPORATION; and CDC SOFTWARE, INC. 12 13 Dated: October 1, 2012 WILLOUGHBY, STUART & BENING 14 15 By: /s/ George W. Dowell 16 ALEXANDER F. STUART GEORGE W. DOWELL 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs CALIFÓRNIA VALLEY LAND 18 COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES and HARRIS WOOLF ALMONDS 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1901.11579S

DECLARATION OF TARA K. CLANCY

I, TARA K. CLANCY, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law admitted to practice before the courts of the State of California and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. I am an attorney with the law firm of Brown, Eassa, & McLeod LLP, counsel of record for defendants Ross Systems, Inc.; CDC Software Corporation; and CDC Corporation (collectively "Defendants") in the above-referenced matter. The facts set forth in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, on matters of which I am informed as counsel, and on my review of the firms' papers and records. If sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.
- 2. After the commencement of this action, the parties engaged in an early attempt to resolve this dispute by way of mediation at JAMS on January 12, 2012, prior to undertaking significant discovery. As the parties were unable to resolve the dispute at that time, the parties appeared telephonically at an initial scheduling conference on January 31, 2012. This Court issued a Scheduling Conference Order on February 6, 2012. Thereafter, the parties began conducting non-expert discovery by propounding special interrogatories and requests for production of documents. In light of the extensive nature of the information requested, the parties granted mutual extensions of time to respond to the discovery requests. In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rules 29, 33, and 34, and Local Rule 143, counsel for both parties filed their respective stipulations regarding these extensions of time on June 19, 2012 (Defendants' Responses), July 16, 2012 (Defendants' Responses), and July 31, 2012 (Plaintiffs' Responses).
- 3. Although the parties have served verified written discovery responses, the parties have not yet produced responsive documents, pending the final execution and entry of a stipulated protective order that is acceptable to both sides. Over the course of the past several weeks, the parties have been meeting and conferring regarding the parameters of an acceptable protective order, given that disclosure of information in this case will lead to the release of confidential, proprietary information, and trade secrets. On September 18, 2012, George Dowell (Plaintiffs' 1901.115798

counsel) indicated to me that Plaintiffs will agree to the stipulated protective order as proposed by Defendants. Once the stipulated protective order is finalized and executed by the parties, it will be submitted forthwith to this Court for consideration, and if acceptable, entry of the order.

4. In light of the foregoing, I believe that good cause exists for granting the parties additional time to complete non-expert discovery proceedings, including document review and depositions, so that they may prepare the case for trial. The current deadline for completing non-

additional time to complete non-expert discovery proceedings, including document review and depositions, so that they may prepare the case for trial. The current deadline for completing non-expert discovery proceedings is October 19, 2012. Given that the parties will have executed the stipulated protective order a few weeks before this deadline, it will not be possible to complete non-expert discovery by the current deadline of October 19, 2012. I recently met and conferred with Plaintiff's counsel, George Dowell, and we believe that at this juncture, an extension of 120 days will afford the parties with a reasonable amount of time to complete the necessary discovery while also advancing this case towards trial or other resolution.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 1st day of October, 2012 at Oakland, California.

/s/ Tara Clancy
TARA K. CLANCY

1901.11579S

DECLARATION OF GEORGE W. DOWELL

I, GEORGE W. DOWELL, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law admitted to practice before the courts of the State of California and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. I am an attorney with the law firm of Willoughby, Stuart & Bening, counsel of record for Plaintiffs California Valley Land Company and Harris Woolf Almonds (collectively "Plaintiffs") in the above-referenced matter. The facts set forth in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, on matters of which I am informed as counsel, and on my review of the firms' papers and records. If sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.
- 2. Following the commencement of this action, the parties engaged in early settlement efforts which proved fruitless. Shortly thereafter, the partied exchanged written discovery and requests for the product of documents.
- 3. Due to scheduling conflicts the responsive dates for the discovery responses were continued for both sides pursuant to stipulations filed with this Court. In addition to the extensions of time, there have been ongoing discussions about the need for a Protective Order in this matter. After a lengthy meet and confer period, the parties have agreed to submit stipulated Protective Order for this Court's consideration. Upon entry of that order, or some variant thereto, the parties will exchange documents.
- 4. There is good cause to continue the trial and related dates based on the parties efforts to resolve the discovery disputes to date and need to conduct depositions of relevant witnesses following review of the documents sought in discovery. The parties believe that the brief extension of time sought in this Stipulation will provide ample opportunity to review the produced documents and conduct the necessary depositions.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 1st day of October, 2012 at San Jose, California.

1901.11579S

2

4 5

6

8

7

9

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Conference Order submitted herewith, the supporting declarations of George W. Dowell and Tara K. Clancy; and good cause showing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the dates set forth in the Scheduling Conference Order issued on February 6, 2012 be modified as follows:

Trial in this matter is hereby continued one hundred and twenty (121) days to **July 16**, **2013**. Furthermore, the following dates shall be extended by one hundred and twenty (121) days, with the new dates set as reflected below:

| | Original Date: | New Date: |
|--|---|--|
| Expert Disclosure Deadline: | 10/05/12 | 02/05/13 |
| Supplemental Expert Disclosure Deadline: | 10/19/12 | 02/19/13 |
| Discovery Deadline (Non-Expert): | 10/19/12 | 02/19/13 |
| Discovery Deadline (Expert): | 11/09/12 | 03/08/13 |
| Settlement Conference: | 11/14/12 | 1/22/13 at 10:30 |
| Non-Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline: | 11/15/12 | 03/15/13 |
| Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline: | 12/07/12 | 04/05/13 |
| Pre-Trial Conference: | 1/25/13 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 2/AWI | 5/24/13 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 2/AWI |
| Trial Date: | 3/11/13 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 2/AWI (JT ~ 3 weeks) | 7/16/13 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 2/AWI (JT ~ 3 weeks) |

All other matters contained in this Court's initial Scheduling Conference Order issued on February 6, 2012 shall remain in full effect as though completely restated herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/4/2012

/s/ SANDRA M. SNYDER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1901.11579S

- 6 -