1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF ALMONDS, COMPANY COMPAN	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. 1:11-CV-1362 AWI SMS COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. 1:11-CV-1362 AWI SMS COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF CASE NO. 1:11-CV-1362 AWI SMS ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
CALIFORNIA VALLEY LAND COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF CASE NO. 1:11-CV-1362 AWI SMS ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
COMPANY dba WOOLF ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
7 ENTERPRISES, and HARRIS WOOLF ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR LEAVE	
TALIVIUNDS.	
8	
9 Plaintiffs	
10 v.	
11 ROSS SYSTEMS, INC; CDC SOFTWARE, INC.; CDC SOFTWARE	
12 CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
Defendants	
14	
Defendants have filed a motion for partial summary judgment. Doc. 73. Plaintiffs have	
filed an opposition. Doc. 76. In their reply, Defendants have made comprehensive and	
voluminous objections to each of Plaintiffs' proffered declarations. Doc. 77, Parts 3-9. Plaintif	fs
ask for the opportunity to respond. Doc. 78.	
Permission is granted; Plaintiffs must file a sur-reply by 4:00 PM by Thursday, July 17,	1
20 2014. The hearing is rescheduled for 1:30 PM on Monday, July 28, 2014 in Courtroom 2. The	;
21 court will consider objections to evidence under standards set by appropriate precedent, including	ng
22 Block v. City of L.A., 253 F.3d 410, 418-19 (9th Cir. 2001) and <u>Fraser v. Goodale</u> , 342 F.3d 10)32,
23 1036-37 (9th Cir. 2003).	
24	
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: July 2, 2014 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
27	
28	