| (DP)Clifton Perry v | v. Acting Warden Michael Martel<br>II  | Doc. 43   |
|---------------------|--|---|
|                     |  |   |
|                     |  |   |
| 1                   |  |   |
| 2                   |  |   |
| 3                   |  |   |
| 4                   |  |   |
| 5                   |  |   |
| 6                   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 7                   | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 8                   |  |   |
| 9                   | CLIFTON PERRY,   | Case No. 1:11-cv-01367 AWI                              |
| 10                  | Petitioner,  | DEATH PENALTY CASE                                      |
| 11                  | VS.  KEVIN CHAPPELL, as Acting Warden of San   | ORDER SETTING PHASE II BRIEFING SCHEDULE                |
| 12                  | Quentin State Prison,  | ) SCHEDULE  |
| 13                  | Respondent.  |   |
| 14                  |  |   |
| 15                  | On June 27, 2012, the Court granted the motion of Petitioner Clifton Perry ("Perry") for equitable         |   |
| 16                  | tolling of the statute of limitations for 39 days, up to and including September 4, 2012. On that date,    |   |
| 17                  | Perry timely filed his Petition (doc. 40), accompanied by a separate Memorandum of Points and              |   |
| 18                  | Authorities (doc. 41). In his preliminary statement of the Petition, Perry alleges that each of the claims |   |
| 19                  | presented therein are exhausted. He notes, however, that Exhibits 1 through 4 accompanying the             |   |
| 20                  | Petition were not previously presented to the California Supreme Court.                                    |   |
| 21                  | In light of Perry's allegation that the Petition is exhausted, the next step in the litigation process     |   |
| 22                  | is for Respondent Kevin Chappell, as Acting Wards  | en of San Quentin State Prison (the "Warden") to file   |
| 23                  | an Answer. In accordance with the Court's procedu  | ares set forth in the Fresno Attorney Guide (available  |
| 24                  | on the Court's website), the Warden's Answer sha   | all address the allegations in the petition, consistent |
| 25                  | with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases  | s, shall include legal points and authorities with the  |
| 26                  | Answer, address all substantive and procedural a   | ffirmative defenses the Warden intends to pursue,       |
| 27                  | including lack of exhaustion, failure to meet the statute of limitations, procedural default and the non-  |   |
| 28                  | retroactivity bar. Given the length of the Petition (29 pages, alleging 14 substantive claims) and the     |   |
|                     | OSettingPhaseIIBriefingSch.Per.wpd   | 1   |
|                     |  |   |
|                     | <del></del>  | Dockete Justia com                                      |

Dockets.Justia.com

| 1  | length of the supporting points and authorities (160 pages, not including exhibits), the Court sets January |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | 18, 2013, by 4 p.m. as the due date for the briefed Answer. Perry then will have up to and including        |  |  |
| 3  | 3 March 21, 2013, by 4 p.m. to file his Traverse. Thereafter, the Court will take of the matters of         |  |  |
| 4  | 4 exhaustion and statute of limitations issues, as briefed by the parties.                                  |  |  |
| 5  | FOR GOOD CAUSE APPEARING  |  |  |
| 6  | 1. On or before January 18, 2013, at 4 p.m., the Warden shall his Answer, accompanied by points             |  |  |
| 7  | and authorities, in which he shall frame the factual issues and address the merits of the claims            |  |  |
| 8  | pleaded in the Petition in addition to setting forth his substantive and procedural affirmative             |  |  |
| 9  | defenses.   |  |  |
| 10 | 2. On or before March 21, 2013, at 4 p.m., Perry shall file his Traverse to the Answer.                     |  |  |
| 11 | 3. Any further briefing on issues raised in the pleadings will be requested by the Court.                   |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |
| 13 | 13 IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |  |
| 14 | Dated: October 10, 2012   |  |  |
| 15 | /s/ Anthony W. Ishii Anthony W. Ishii United States District Judge  |  |  |
| 16 | Officed States District Judge   |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |  |