1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	CHEVRON ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY	
10	AND CHEVRON USA,	CASE NO. 1:11-cv-1396 LJO-BAM
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS RECOMMENDATIONS ON MOTIONS
12	vs.	FOR GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT
13	v3.	(Doc. 73)
14	BKK CORPORATION, et. al,	(Doc. 75)
15	Defendants.	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17	On February 24, 2012 and March 5, 2012	2, Plaintiffs Chevron Environmental Management
18	Company and Chevron USA ("Plaintiffs") filed several Motions for Good Settlement Determination	
19	(Docs. 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64) requesting approva	l of individual settlements and barring future claims
20	against defendants: Marathon Oil, Husky Oil and T	Texas Oil & Gas Company (Doc. 57), Consolidated
21	Fiber Glass Products Company (Doc. 58), Kern Ba	ckhoe Services, Inc., and KVS Transportation, Inc.
22	(Doc. 59), Todd Shipyards Corporation and Todd Pa	cific Shipyards Corporation (Docs. 60, 64), Western
23	Oilfields Supply Company (Doc. 61), and Valley T	Free & Construction (Doc. 62).
24	On April 16, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issu	ed Findings and Recommendations that the Motions
25	for Good Settlement Determination be GRANTED	0. (Doc. 73). The Findings and Recommendations
26	were served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fifteen (15)	
27	days of the date of service. More than fifteen (15) days have passed and no objections have been filed.	
28	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.	S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a de
		1

1	novo review o	of the case and reviewed Plaintiff's objections. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
2	the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
3		Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1.	The Findings and Recommendations issued April 16, 2012 (Doc. 73), are ADOPTED IN
5		FULL; and
6	2.	Plaintiffs' Motions for Good Faith Settlement Determination are GRANTED;
7	3.	Any and all claims for equitable comparative contribution, and partial and complete
8		comparative indemnity, based on comparative negligence or comparative fault, against
9		Marathon Oil, Husky Oil, Texas Oil & Gas Corporation, Consolidated Fiber Glass
10		Products Company, Kern Backhoe Service, Inc., KVS Transportation, Inc, Todd
11		Shipyards Corporation, Todd Pacific Shipyards Corporation, Western Oilfields Supply
12	Company, and Valley Tree & Construction are forever barred pursuant to California	
13	Code of Civil Procedure §877.6(c).	
14		
15	IT IS SO OR	DERED
15 16	IT IS SO OR	
		DERED. [ay 7, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16		
16 17		
16 17 18		
16 17 18 19		
16 17 18 19 20		
16 17 18 19 20 21		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 		