

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REX CHAPPELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

T. STANKORB, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO: 1:11-cv-01425-LJO-GBC (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION

/ Docs. 6, 9, 10, 13

On August 25, 2011, Plaintiff Rex Chappell (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 9, 2011, January 9, 2012, and March 12, 2012, Plaintiff filed a [Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order](#), a [Notice of Motion for Temporary Restraining Order](#), and a [Motion for Preliminary Injunction](#) to direct prison officials to make Plaintiff single cell status. Docs. 6, 9, 10. On April 23, 2012, the Magistrate Judge construed these as motions for a preliminary injunction and issued [Findings and Recommendations](#) to deny the motions. Doc. 13. On May 18, 2012, the Magistrate Judge granted Plaintiff’s motion for extension of time to file objections. Doc. 16. Plaintiff has not filed any objections.

//

//

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a *de*
2 *novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and
3 Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed April 23, 2012, are ADOPTED, in full;
6 and
- 7 2. Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction, filed December 9, 2011, January 9,
8 2012, and March 12, 2012, are DENIED.

9
10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: July 9, 2012

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE