I

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	MICHAEL HALTOM, CASE NO. 1:11-cv-01439-LJO-SMS
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION
7 8	OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHAEL ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	
12	On September 28, 2011, Plaintiff Michael Haltom filed a complaint seeking review of the
13	Commissioner's decision to deny his application for Social Security disability benefits. On the date
14	of filing, Plaintiff resided in Thornton, San Joaquin County, California. Doc. 18-1. Plaintiff 's
15	residence has not changed since the filing date. <i>Id.</i>
16	Federal law is clear on the issue of venue: the plaintiff must file suit in the judicial district in
17	which he or she resides or a has a principal place of business. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). If the plaintiff
18	files in the wrong district, the Court may transfer venue to the proper district. Id. In this case,
19	although Plaintiff properly filed his complaint in the Eastern District of California, she improperly
20	filed it in the Fresno Division rather than the Sacramento Division where it was properly venued.
21	Good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS this case TRANSFERRED to the Sacramento
22	Division of the Eastern District of California.
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: February 2, 2013 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	
27	
28	
	-1-