| (PC) Nuriddin | n v. Estrella et al |
|---------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | MUHAMMAD NURIDDIN, 1:11-cv-01448 GBC (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |
| 13 | ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR vs. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL |
| 14 | ESTRELLA, et al., |
| 15 | Defendant(s). (DOCUMENT #8) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | On August 16, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. |
| 18 | Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. |
| 19 | Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to |
| 20 | represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court |
| 21 | for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in |
| 22 | certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel |
| 23 | pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. |
| 24 | Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court |
| 25 | will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining |
| 26 | whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of |
| 27 | success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of |
| 28 | |
| | -1- |

Doc. 9

| 1 | the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> . (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). |
|----|---|
| 2 | In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. |
| 3 | Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious |
| 4 | allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is |
| 5 | faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court |
| 6 | cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a |
| 7 | review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate |
| 8 | his claims. <u>Id</u> . |
| 9 | For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is |
| 10 | HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 13 | Dated:August 22, 2012 |
| 14 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |