1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDREW W. MARTIN,	Case No. 1:11-cv-01461 AWI DLB PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER
13	V.	
14	A. FLORES, et al.,	[ECF No. 52]
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Andrew Martin ("Plaintiff") is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
18	civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On January 28, 2014, Defendants moved to modify the discovery and scheduling order to	
20	vacate the dispositive motion deadline for Defendants Borges and Cope on the grounds that they	
21	may eventually be dismissed from the action for failure to exhaust. On February 21, 2014,	
22	Defendants Borges and Cope were dismissed from the action.	
23	Accordingly, Defendants' motion is GRANTED nunc pro tunc.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	II IS SU OKDERED.	
26	Dated:August 25, 2014	/s/ Dennis L. Beck
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
	1	