(HC) Coles v	v. Cate	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JAMEEL R. COLES,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-11-2220 GGH P
12	VS.	
13	MATTHEW CATE,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Merced County	
19	Superior Court. Merced County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court	
20	for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).	
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
22	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper	
23	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the	
24	court. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
25	////	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 4

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis;	
3	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
4	District of California sitting in Fresno; and	
5	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and	
6	shall be filed at:	
7	United States District Court	
8		
9	Fresno, CA 93721	
10	DATED: September 1, 2011	
11	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13	cole2220.109	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		